Effective Cartan-Tanaka connections for \mathscr{C}^6 -smooth strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$

Mansour Aghasi^a, Joël Merker^b, Masoud Sabzevari^a

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, IRAN ^bDépartement de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Bâtiment 425, Faculté des Sciences, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, FRANCE

Received ********; accepted after revision +++++

Presented by

Abstract

Explicit Cartan-Tanaka curvatures, the vanishing of which characterizes sphericity, are provided in terms of the 6-th order jet of a graphing function for a \mathscr{C}^6 strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. To cite this article:

Résumé

Connections de Cartan-Tanaka effectives pour les hypersurfaces strictement pseudoconvexes $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ de classe \mathscr{C}^6 . Des courbures de Cartan-Tanaka explicites, dont l'annulation identique caractérise la sphéricité, sont fournies en termes du jet d'ordre 6 d'une fonction graphante pour une hypersurface $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ de classe \mathscr{C}^6 strictement pseudoconvexe. *Pour citer cet article :*

1. Cartan connection, curvature function and second cohomology of Lie algebras

Definition 1.1 ([12,4]) Let G be a real Lie group with a closed subgroup H, and let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be the corresponding real Lie algebras. A Cartan geometry of type (G, H) on a \mathscr{C}^{∞} manifold M is a principal H-bundle $\pi: \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow M$ together with a \mathfrak{g} -valued 'Cartan connection' 1-form $\omega: T\mathscr{P} \to \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying: (i) $\omega_p: T_p \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an isomorphism at every $p \in \mathscr{G}$;

(ii) if $R_h(p) := ph$ is the right translation on \mathscr{G} by an $h \in H$, then $R_h^* \omega = \operatorname{Ad}(h^{-1}) \circ \omega$;

(iii) $\omega(H^{\dagger}) = h$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, where $H^{\dagger}|_{p} := \frac{d}{dt}|_{0}(R_{\exp(th)}(p))$ is the left-invariant vector field on \mathscr{G} associated to h.

June 23, 2011

Email addresses: m.aghasi@cc.iut.ac.ir (Mansour Aghasi), merker@math.u-psud.fr (Joël Merker), sabzevari@math.iut.ac.ir (Masoud Sabzevari).

Preprint submitted to the Académie des sciences

Since the associated curvature 2-form $\Omega(X,Y) := d\omega(X,Y) + [\omega(X), \omega(Y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, with $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\mathscr{P})$, vanishes if either X or Y is vertical ([12]), Ω is fully represented by the curvature function $\kappa \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}, \Lambda^{2}(\mathfrak{g}^{*}/\mathfrak{h}^{*}) \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ which sends a point $p \in \mathscr{P}$ to the map $\kappa(p) : (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \wedge (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by:

$$(\mathsf{x}' \operatorname{mod} \mathfrak{h}) \land (\mathsf{x}'' \operatorname{mod} \mathfrak{h}) \longmapsto -\Omega_p (\omega_p^{-1}(\mathsf{x}'), \, \omega_p^{-1}(\mathsf{x}'')) = - [\mathsf{x}', \mathsf{x}'']_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega_p ([\widehat{X}', \, \widehat{X}'']),$$

where $\widehat{X} := \omega^{-1}(\mathsf{x})$ is the constant field on \mathscr{P} associated to an $\mathsf{x} \in \mathfrak{g}$. Denote then $r := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$, $n := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ whence $n - r = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}$ and suppose $r \ge 2$, $n \ge 1$, $n - r \ge 1$ so that \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ and \mathfrak{h} are all nonzero. Picking an adapted basis $(\mathsf{x}_k)_{1 \le k \le r}$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathsf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{x}_n, \mathsf{x}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathsf{x}_r)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathsf{x}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathsf{x}_r)$, one may expand the curvature function by means of \mathbb{R} -valued components:

$$\kappa(p) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant n} \sum_{k=1}^r \kappa_{i_1,i_2}^k(p) \, \mathsf{x}_{i_1}^* \wedge \mathsf{x}_{i_2}^* \otimes \mathsf{x}_k.$$

Lemma 1.2 ([2]) For any field $Y^{\dagger} = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{0} R_{\exp(ty)}$ on \mathscr{P} associated to an arbitrary $y \in \mathfrak{h}$, one has:

$$(Y^{\dagger}\kappa)(p)(\mathsf{x}',\mathsf{x}'') = -\left[\mathsf{y},\,\kappa(p)(\mathsf{x}',\mathsf{x}'')\right]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \kappa(p)\big([\mathsf{y},\mathsf{x}']_{\mathfrak{g}},\,\mathsf{x}''\big) + \kappa(p)\big(\mathsf{x}',\,[\mathsf{y},\mathsf{x}'']_{\mathfrak{g}}\big). \qquad \Box$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider k-cochains $\mathscr{C}^k := \Lambda^k(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and define the differential $\partial^k : \mathscr{C}^k \to \mathscr{C}^{k+1}$ by:

$$(\partial^k \Phi) \big(\mathsf{z}_0, \mathsf{z}_1, \dots, \mathsf{z}_k \big) := \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} (-1)^i \big[\mathsf{z}_i, \, \Phi(\mathsf{z}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathsf{z}}_i, \dots, \mathsf{z}_k) \big]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \sum_{0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant k} (-1)^{i+j} \, \Phi\big([\mathsf{z}_i, \mathsf{z}_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathsf{z}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathsf{z}}_i, \dots, \widehat{\mathsf{z}}_j, \dots, \mathsf{z}_k \big).$$

Especially for k = 2, the cohomology spaces $\mathscr{H}^k := \ker(\partial^k)/\operatorname{im}(\partial^{k-1})$ encode deformations of Lie algebras and are useful for Cartan connections ([13,4,6]), *cf.* [1] for an algorithm using Gröbner bases.

Lemma 1.3 (Bianchi identity [4,6,2]) For any three $x', x'', x''' \in \mathfrak{g}$, one has at every point $p \in \mathscr{P}$:

$$0 = (\partial^2 \kappa)(p)(\mathsf{x}',\mathsf{x}'',\mathsf{x}''') + \sum_{\text{cycl}} \kappa(p)\big(\kappa(p)(\mathsf{x}',\mathsf{x}''),\mathsf{x}'''\big) + \sum_{\text{cycl}} \big(\widehat{X}'(\kappa)\big)(p)(\mathsf{x}'',\mathsf{x}'''). \qquad \Box$$

When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu}$ is graded as in Tanaka's theory, with $[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_2}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and when $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu}$, cochains enjoy a natural grading, the second cohomology is graded too: $\mathscr{H}^2 = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{H}^2_{[h]}$, and the graded Bianchi identities ([6,2]):

$$\partial_{[h]}^{2} \left(\kappa_{[h]} \right) (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}''') = -\sum_{\text{cycl}} \sum_{h'=1}^{h-1} \left(\kappa_{[h-h']} \left(\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \left(\kappa_{[h']} (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \right), \mathbf{x}''' \right) \right) - \sum_{\text{cycl}} \left(\widehat{X}' \kappa_{[h+|\mathbf{x}'|]} \right) (\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}''')$$

show that the lowest order nonvanishing curvature must be ∂ -closed, and more generally, any homogeneous curvature component is determined by the lower components up to a ∂ -closed component.

2. Geometry-preserving deformations of the Heisenberg sphere $\mathbb{H}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$

Let now $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a *local* strongly pseudoconvex \mathscr{C}^6 -smooth real 3-dimensional hypersurface, represented in coordinates (z, w) = (x + iy, u + iv) as the graph (for background, *see* [7,8,9,10,11]):

$$v = \varphi(x, y, u) = x^2 + y^2 + O(3)$$

of a certain real-valued \mathscr{C}^6 function φ defined in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 . Its complex tangent bundle $T^c M = \operatorname{Re} T^{0,1} M$ is generated by the two vector fields:

$$H_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\varphi_y - \varphi_x \,\varphi_u}{1 + \varphi_u^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{-\varphi_x - \varphi_y \,\varphi_u}{1 + \varphi_u^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$

which make a frame joint with the third, Levi form-type Lie-bracket:

$$T := \frac{1}{4} \left[H_1, H_2 \right] = \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi_u^2)^2} \left\{ -\varphi_{xx} - \varphi_{yy} - 2\varphi_y \varphi_{xu} - \varphi_x^2 \varphi_{uu} + 2\varphi_x \varphi_{yu} - \varphi_y^2 \varphi_{uu} + 2\varphi_y \varphi_u \varphi_{yu} + 2\varphi_x \varphi_u \varphi_{xu} - \varphi_u^2 \varphi_{xx} - \varphi_u^2 \varphi_{yy} \right\} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Such M^3 's are geometry-preserving deformations of the Heisenberg sphere \mathbb{H}^3 : $v = x^2 + y^2$. It is known that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathbb{H}^3) := \{ \mathsf{X} = Z(z, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + W(z, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} : \mathsf{X} + \overline{\mathsf{X}} \text{ tangent to } \mathbb{H}^3 \}$ of infinitesimal CR automorphisms of the Heisenberg sphere \mathbb{H}^3 in \mathbb{C}^2 is 8-dimensional and generated by:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{T} &:= \partial_w, \quad \mathsf{H}_1 := \partial_z + 2iz\,\partial_w, \quad \mathsf{H}_2 := i\,\partial_z + 2z\,\partial_w, \quad \mathsf{D} := z\,\partial_z + 2w\,\partial_w, \quad \mathsf{R} := iz\,\partial_z, \\ \mathsf{I}_1 := (w + 2iz^2)\,\partial_z + 2izw\,\partial_w, \quad \mathsf{I}_2 := (iw + 2z^2)\,\partial_z + 2zw \quad \mathsf{J} := zw\,\partial_z + w^2\,\partial_w. \end{split}$$

Recently, Ezhov, McLaughlin and Schmalz published in the Notices of the AMS an expository article [6] in which they reconstruct — within Tanaka's framework and assuming that M is \mathscr{C}^{ω} — Cartan's connection ([5]) valued the eight-dimensional *abstract* real Lie algebra:

 $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{i}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{i}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathfrak{j}$ $(\text{with } \mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{R} \, \mathsf{d} \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathsf{r} \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathsf{i}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathsf{i}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \, \mathsf{j})$

spanned by some eight abstract vectors enjoying the same commutator table as T,..., J. A natural Tanaka grading is: $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \mathbb{R} t$; $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathbb{R} h_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} h_2$; $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R} d \oplus \mathbb{R} r$; $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{R} i_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} i_2$; $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathbb{R} j$. By performing the above choice $\{H_1, H_2, T\}$ of an initial frame for TM which is explicit in terms of the graphing function $\varphi(x, y, u)$, we deviate from the initial normalization made in [6] (with a more geometric-minded approach), since our computational objective is to provide a Cartan-Tanaka connection all elements of which are completely effective in terms of $\varphi(x, y, u)$ — assuming only \mathscr{C}^6 -smoothness of M. Call Υ the numerator of $T = \frac{1}{4} [H_1, H_2] = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Upsilon}{\Delta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, allow the two notational coincidences: $x_1 \equiv x$,

 $x_2 \equiv y$; introduce the two length-three brackets:

$$[H_i, T] = \frac{1}{4} [H_i, [H_1, H_2]] =: \Phi_i T \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

which are both multiples of T by means of two functions $\Phi_i := \frac{A_i}{\Delta^2 \Upsilon}$; lastly, introduce furthermore the H_k -iterated derivatives of the functions Φ_i up to order 3, where $i, k_1, k_2, k_3 = 1, 2$:

$$H_{k_1}(\Phi_i) = \frac{A_{i,k_1}}{\Delta^4 \Upsilon^2} \qquad H_{k_2}(H_{k_1}(\Phi_i)) = \frac{A_{i,k_1,k_2}}{\Delta^6 \Upsilon^3}, \qquad H_{k_3}(H_{k_2}(H_{k_1}(\Phi_i))) = \frac{A_{i,k_1,k_2,k_3}}{\Delta^8 \Upsilon^4}.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([2]) All the numerators appearing above are explicitly given by:

$$\begin{split} A_{i} &:= \Delta^{2} \Upsilon_{x_{i}} + \Delta \Big(-2 \Delta_{x_{i}} \Upsilon + \Lambda_{i} \Upsilon_{u} - \Upsilon \Lambda_{i,u} \Big) - \Lambda_{i} \Upsilon \Delta_{u}, \\ A_{i,k_{1}} &:= \Delta^{2} \Big(\Upsilon A_{i,x_{k_{1}}} - \Upsilon_{x_{k_{1}}} A_{i} \Big) + \Delta \Big(-2 \Delta_{x_{k_{1}}} \Upsilon A_{i} + \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{1}} A_{i,u} - \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{1}} A_{i} \Big) - 2 \Delta_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{1}} A_{i}, \\ A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} &:= \Delta^{2} \Big(\Upsilon A_{i,k_{1},x_{k_{2}}} - 2 \Upsilon_{x_{k_{2}}} A_{i,k_{1}} \Big) + \Delta \Big(-3 \Delta_{x_{k_{2}}} \Upsilon A_{i,k_{1}} + \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{2}} A_{i,k_{1},u} - 2 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{2}} A_{i,k_{1}} \Big) - 3 \Delta_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{2}} A_{i,k_{1}}, \end{split}$$

 $A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}} = \Delta^{2} \left(\Upsilon A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},x_{k_{3}}} - \Upsilon_{x_{k_{3}}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} \right) + \Delta \left(-6 \Delta_{x_{k_{3}}} \Upsilon A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} \right) - 6 \Delta_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} \right) - 6 \Delta_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} \right) - 6 \Delta_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} \right) - 6 \Lambda_{u} \Upsilon \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2},u} - 3 \Upsilon_{u} \Lambda_{k_{3}} A_{i,k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{u} A_{u,k_{3},k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{u} A_{u,k_{3},k_{3},k_{1},k_{2}} + 2 \Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{u} A_{u} A_{u$ Furthermore, these iterated derivatives identically satisfy $H_2(\Phi_1) \equiv H_1(\Phi_2)$ and:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\equiv -H_1(H_2(H_1(\Phi_2))) + 2\,H_2(H_1(H_1(\Phi_2))) - H_2(H_2(H_1(\Phi_1))) - \Phi_2\,H_1(H_2(\Phi_1)) + \Phi_2\,H_2(H_1(\Phi_1)), \\ 0 &\equiv -H_2(H_1(H_1(\Phi_2))) + 2\,H_1(H_2(H_1(\Phi_2))) - H_1(H_1(H_2(\Phi_2))) - \Phi_1\,H_2(H_1(\Phi_2)) + \Phi_1\,H_1(H_2(\Phi_2)), \\ 0 &\equiv -H_1(H_1(H_1(\Phi_2))) + 2\,H_1(H_2(H_1(\Phi_1))) - H_2(H_1(H_1(\Phi_1))) + \Phi_1\,H_1(H_1(\Phi_2)) - \Phi_1\,H_2(H_1(\Phi_1)), \\ 0 &\equiv -H_2(H_2(H_1(\Phi_2))) + 2\,H_2(H_1(H_2(\Phi_2))) - H_1(H_2(H_2(\Phi_2))) + \Phi_2\,H_2(H_1(\Phi_2)) - \Phi_2\,H_1(H_2(\Phi_2)). \end{split}$$

3. Explicit Cartan-Tanaka connection

Theorem 3.1 ([2]) Associated to such an $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, there is a unique g-valued Cartan connection which is normal and regular in the sense of Tanaka. Its curvature function reduces to:

$$\begin{split} \kappa(p) &= \kappa_{i_1}^{h_1 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_1^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{i}_1 + \kappa_{i_2}^{h_1 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_1^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{i}_2 + \kappa_{i_1}^{h_2 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_2^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{i}_1 + \\ &+ \kappa_{i_2}^{h_2 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_2^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{i}_2 + \kappa_{j_1}^{j_1 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_1^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{j} + \kappa_{j_1}^{h_2 t}(p) \, \mathsf{h}_2^* \wedge \mathsf{t}^* \otimes \mathsf{j}, \end{split}$$

where the two main curvature coefficients, having homogeneity 4, are of the form:

$$\kappa_{i_1}^{h_1t}(p) = -\Delta_1 c^4 - 2\Delta_4 c^3 d - 2\Delta_4 c d^3 + \Delta_1 d^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{i_2}^{h_1t}(p) = -\Delta_4 c^4 + 2\Delta_1 c^3 d + 2\Delta_1 c d^3 + \Delta_4 d^4,$$

in which the two functions Δ_1 and Δ_4 of only the three variables (x, y, u) are explicitly given by:
$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{384} \Big[H_1(H_1(H_1(\Phi_1))) - H_2(H_2(H_2(\Phi_2))) + 11H_1(H_2(H_1(\Phi_2))) - 11H_2(H_1(H_2(\Phi_1))) + 6\Phi_2 H_2(H_1(\Phi_1))) - 6\Phi_1 H_1(H_2(\Phi_2))) - 3\Phi_2 H_1(H_1(\Phi_2)) + 3\Phi_1 H_2(H_2(\Phi_1)) - 3\Phi_1 H_1(H_1(\Phi_1)) + 3\Phi_2 H_2(H_2(\Phi_2)) - 2\Phi_1 H_1(\Phi_1) + 2\Phi_2 H_2(\Phi_2) - 2(\Phi_2)^2 H_1(\Phi_1) + 2(\Phi_1)^2 H_2(\Phi_2) - 2(\Phi_2)^2 H_2(\Phi_2) + 2(\Phi_1)^2 H_1(\Phi_1) \Big],$$

$$\Delta_{4} = \frac{1}{384} \Big[-3 H_{2}(H_{1}(H_{2}(\Phi_{2}))) - 3 H_{1}(H_{2}(H_{1}(\Phi_{1}))) + 5 H_{1}(H_{2}(H_{2}(\Phi_{2}))) + 5 H_{2}(H_{1}(H_{1}(\Phi_{1}))) + 4 \Phi_{1} H_{1}(H_{1}(\Phi_{2})) - 3 \Phi_{2} H_{1}(H_{1}(\Phi_{1})) - 3 \Phi_{1} H_{2}(H_{2}(\Phi_{2})) - 7 \Phi_{2} H_{1}(H_{2}(\Phi_{2})) - 7 \Phi_{1} H_{2}(H_{1}(\Phi_{1})) - 2 H_{1}(\Phi_{1}) H_{1}(\Phi_{2}) - 2 H_{2}(\Phi_{2}) H_{2}(\Phi_{1}) + 4 \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} H_{1}(\Phi_{1}) + 4 \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} H_{2}(\Phi_{2}) \Big],$$

and where the remaining four secondary curvature coefficients are given by:

$$\kappa_{i_1}^{h_2 t} = \kappa_{i_2}^{h_1 t}, \qquad \kappa_{i_2}^{h_2 t} = -\kappa_{i_1}^{h_1 t}, \qquad \kappa_j^{h_1 t} = \widehat{H}_1(\kappa_{i_2}^{h_2 t}) - \widehat{H}_2(\kappa_{i_2}^{h_1 t}), \qquad \kappa_j^{h_2 t} = -\widehat{H}_1(\kappa_{i_1}^{h_2 t}) + \widehat{H}_2(\kappa_{i_1}^{h_1 t}).$$

Corollary 3.2 A \mathscr{C}^6 -smooth strongly pseudoconvex local hypersurface $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{H}^3 , namely is spherical, if and only if $0 \equiv \Delta_1 \equiv \Delta_4$, identically as functions of (x, y, u).

Acknowledgements. One year ago, Gerd Schmalz kindly provided us with a pdf copy of the accepted version of [6], and this was of great help during the preparation of the presently announced memoir [2].

References

- M. Aghasi, B. Alizadeh, J. Merker, M. Sabzevari, A Gröbner-bases algorithm for the computation of the cohomology of Lie (super) algebras, arxiv.org/abs/1104.5300, 23 pp.
- [2] M. Aghasi, J. Merker, M. Sabzevari, Effective Cartan-Tanaka connections on \mathscr{C}^6 strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, arxiv.org/abs/1104.1509, 113 pp.
- [3] V. K. Beloshapka, V. Ezhov, G. Schmalz, Canonical Cartan connection and holomorphic invariants on Engel CR manifolds, Russian J. Mathematical Physics 14 (2007) 121–133.
- [4] A. Čap, H. Schichl, Parabolic geometries and canonical Cartan connections, Hokkaido Math. J. 29 (2000) 453–505.
- [5] É. Cartan, Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de deux variables complexes I, Ann. Math. Pures Appl. 4 (1932) 17–90; II. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 2 (1932) 333–354.
- [6] V. Ezhov, B. McLaughlin, G. Schmalz, From Cartan to Tanaka: getting real in the complex world, Notices of AMS 58 (2011) 20–27
- [7] J. Merker, On the partial algebraicity of holomorphic mappings between two real algebraic sets, Bull. Soc. Math. France 129 (2001) 547–591.
- [8] J. Merker, On the local geometry of generic submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^n and the analytic reflection principle, J. Math. Sciences (N. Y.) 125 (2005) 751–824.
- [9] J. Merker, Lie symmetries and CR geometry, J. Math. Sciences (N. Y.) 154 (2008) 817–922.
- [10] J. Merker, Nonrigid spherical real analytic hypersurfaces in C², Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 55 (2010), no. 12, 1155–1182.
- [11] J. Merker, E. Porten, Holomorphic extension of CR functions, envelopes of holomorphy and removable singularities, Int. Math. Research Surveys 2006 Article ID 28295 287 pages.
- [12] R. W. Sharpe, Differential Geometry. Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [13] N. Tanaka, On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudo-groups, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 10 (1970) 1–82.