



HAL
open science

Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 2: Bounds on Monge-Ampère volumes

Vincent Guedj, Chinh H. Lu

► **To cite this version:**

Vincent Guedj, Chinh H. Lu. Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 2: Bounds on Monge-Ampère volumes. 2021. hal-03288391

HAL Id: hal-03288391

<https://universite-paris-saclay.hal.science/hal-03288391v1>

Preprint submitted on 16 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

QUASI-PLURISUBHARMONIC ENVELOPES 2: BOUNDS ON MONGE-AMPÈRE VOLUMES

VINCENT GUEDJ & CHINH H. LU

ABSTRACT. In [GL21a] we have developed a new approach to L^∞ -a priori estimates for degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations, when the reference form is closed. This simplifying assumption was used to ensure the constancy of the volumes of Monge-Ampère measures.

We study here the way these volumes stay away from zero and infinity when the reference form is no longer closed. We establish a transcendental version of the Grauert-Riemenschneider conjecture, partially answering conjectures of Demailly-Păun [DP04] and Boucksom-Demailly-Păun-Peternell [BDPP13].

Our approach relies on a fine use of quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes. The results obtained here will be used in [GL21b] for solving degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian varieties.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Non collapsing forms	3
1.1. Positivity properties	4
1.2. Comparison principle	5
2. Envelopes	6
2.1. Basic properties	6
2.2. Locally vs globally pluripolar sets	9
2.3. Domination principle	10
3. Bounds on Monge-Ampère masses	11
3.1. Global bounds	11
3.2. Bimeromorphic invariance	14
4. Weak transcendental Morse inequalities	16
4.1. Nef and big forms	16
4.2. Demailly-Păun conjecture	17
4.3. Transcendental holomorphic Morse inequalities	20
References	23

INTRODUCTION

The study of complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian (non Kähler) manifolds has gained considerable interest in the last decade, after Tosatti and Weinkove established an appropriate version of Yau's theorem in [TW10]. The

Date: June 27, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32W20, 32U05, 32Q15, 35A23.

Key words and phrases. Monge-Ampère equation, a priori estimates.

smooth Gauduchon-Calabi-Yau conjecture has been further solved by Székelyhidi-Tosatti-Weinkove [STW17], while the pluripotential theory has been partially extended by Dinew, Kołodziej, and Nguyen [DK12, KN15, Din16, KN19].

As in Yau's original proof [Yau78], the method of [TW10] consists in establishing a priori estimates along a continuity path, and the most delicate estimate turns out again to be the a priori L^∞ -estimate. The fact that the reference form is not closed introduces several new difficulties: there are many extra terms to handle when using Stokes theorem, and it becomes non trivial to get uniform bounds on the total Monge-Ampère volumes involved in the estimates.

In [GL21a] we have developed a new approach for establishing uniform a priori estimates, restricting to the context of Kähler manifolds for simplicity. While the pluripotential approach consists in measuring the Monge-Ampère capacity of sublevel sets ($\varphi < -t$), we directly measure the volume of the latter, avoiding delicate integration by parts. Our approach applies in the Hermitian setting, once certain Monge-Ampère volumes are under control. Understanding the behavior of these volumes is the main focus of this article, while [GL21b] is concerned with the resolution of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations.

We let X denote a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n , equipped with a Hermitian metric ω_X . The first difficulty we face is to decide whether

$$v_+(\omega_X) := \sup \left\{ \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c \varphi)^n : \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X) \right\}$$

is finite. Here $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, $d^c = i(\partial - \bar{\partial})$, and $\text{PSH}(X, \omega_X)$ is the set of ω_X -plurisubharmonic functions: these are functions $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ which are locally given as the sum of a smooth and a plurisubharmonic function, and such that $\omega_X + dd^c u \geq 0$ is a positive current. The complex Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega_X + dd^c u)^n$ is well-defined by [BT82].

Building on works of Chiose [Chi16] and Guan-Li [GL10] we provide several results which ensure that the condition $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ is satisfied:

- for any compact complex manifold X of dimension $n \leq 2$;
- for any threefold which admits a pluriclosed metric $dd^c \tilde{\omega}_X = 0$;
- as soon as there exists a metric $\tilde{\omega}_X$ such that $dd^c \tilde{\omega}_X = 0$ and $dd^c \tilde{\omega}_X^2 = 0$;
- as soon as X belongs to the Fujiki class \mathcal{C} .

The Fujiki class is the class of compact complex manifolds that are bimeromorphically equivalent to Kähler manifolds.

We also need to bound the Monge-Ampère volumes from below. Given ω a semi-positive form, we introduce several positivity properties:

- we say ω is *non-collapsing* if there is no bounded ω -plurisubharmonic function u such that $(\omega + dd^c u)^n \equiv 0$;
- ω satisfies condition (B) if there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$-B\omega^2 \leq dd^c \omega \leq B\omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -B\omega^3 \leq d\omega \wedge d^c \omega \leq B\omega^3;$$

- we say ω is *uniformly non-collapsing* if

$$v_-(\omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n : u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \right\} > 0.$$

The non-collapsing condition is the minimal positivity condition one should require. We show in Proposition 2.8 that it implies the *domination principle*, a useful extension of the classical maximum principle. We provide a simple example

showing that having positive volume $\int_X \omega^n > 0$ does not prevent from being collapsing (see Example 3.5).

After providing a simplified proof of Kołodziej-Nguyen modified comparison principle (see [KN15, Theorem 0.5] and Theorem 1.5), we show that condition (B) implies non-collapsing. The former condition is e.g. satisfied by any form ω which is the pull-back of a Hermitian form on a singular Hermitian variety.

When ω is closed, simple integration by parts reveal that $v_-(\omega) = \int_X \omega^n$ is positive as soon as ω is positive at some point. Bounding from below $v_-(\omega)$ is a much more delicate issue in general. We show in Proposition 3.4 that ω is uniformly non-collapsing if one restricts to ω -psh functions that are uniformly bounded by a fixed constant M :

$$v_M^-(\omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n : u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \text{ with } -M \leq u \leq 0 \right\} > 0.$$

For non uniformly bounded functions we show the following:

Theorem A. *The condition $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ is independent of the choice of ω_X ; it is moreover invariant under bimeromorphic change of coordinates.*

The condition $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ is also independent of the choice of ω_X and invariant under bimeromorphic change of coordinates.

In particular these conditions both hold true if X belongs to the Fujiki class.

We are not aware of a single example of a compact complex manifold such that $v_+(\omega_X) = +\infty$ or $v_-(\omega_X) = 0$. This is an important open problem.

The proof of Theorem A relies on a fine use of quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes. These envelopes have been systematically studied in [GLZ19] in the Kähler framework. Adapting and generalizing [GLZ19] to this Hermitian setting, we prove in Section 2 the following:

Theorem B. *Given a Lebesgue measurable function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the ω -plurisubharmonic envelope of h by $P_\omega(h) := (\sup\{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) : u \leq h\})^*$, where the star means that we take the upper semi-continuous regularization. If h is bounded below, quasi-lower-semi-continuous, and $P_\omega(h) < +\infty$, then*

- $P_\omega(h)$ is a bounded ω -plurisubharmonic function;
- $P_\omega(h) \leq h$ in $X \setminus P$, where P is pluripolar;
- $(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n$ is concentrated on the contact set $\{P_\omega(h) = h\}$.

An influential conjecture of Grauert-Riemenschneider [GR70] asked whether the existence of a semi-positive holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ with $c_1(L)^n > 0$ implies that X is Moishezon (i.e. bimeromorphically equivalent to a projective manifold). This conjecture has been solved positively by Siu in [Siu84] (with complements by [Siu85] and Demailly [Dem85]).

Demailly and Păun have proposed a transcendental version of this conjecture (see [DP04, Conjecture 0.8]): given a nef class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $\alpha^n > 0$, they conjectured that α should contain a Kähler current, i.e. a positive closed $(1, 1)$ -current which dominates a Hermitian form. Recall that the Bott-Chern cohomology group $H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the quotient of closed real smooth $(1, 1)$ -forms, by the image of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ under the dd^c -operator.

This influential conjecture has been further reinforced by Boucksom-Demailly-Păun-Peternell who proposed a weak transcendental form of Demailly's holomorphic Morse inequalities [BDPP13, Conjecture 10.1]. This stronger conjecture has been solved recently by Witt-Nyström when X is projective [WN19].

Building on works of Chiose [Chi13], Xiao [Xiao15] and Popovici [Pop16] we obtain the following answer to the qualitative part of these conjectures:

Theorem C. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ be nef classes such that $\alpha^n > n\alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $\alpha - \beta$ contains a Kähler current;
- (2) $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$;
- (3) X belongs to the Fujiki class.

A consequence of our analysis is that the conjectures of Demailly-Păun and Boucksom-Demailly-Păun-Peternell can be extended to non closed forms, making sense outside the Fujiki class. Progresses in the theory of complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact hermitian manifolds have indeed shown that it is useful to consider dd^c -perturbations of non closed nef forms. It is therefore natural to try and consider an extension of Theorem C. These are the contents of Theorem 4.6 (when $\beta = 0$) and Theorem 4.15 (when $\beta \neq 0$).

Acknowledgements. We thank Daniele Angella for several useful discussions. This work has benefited from State aid managed by the ANR under the "PIA" program bearing the reference ANR-11-LABX-0040 (research project HERMETIC). The authors are also partially supported by the ANR project PARAPLUI.

1. NON COLLAPSING FORMS

In the whole article we let X denote a compact complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 1$, and we fix ω a smooth semi-positive $(1, 1)$ -form on X .

1.1. Positivity properties.

1.1.1. *Monge-Ampère operators.* A function is quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh for short) if it is locally given as the sum of a smooth and a psh function.

Given an open set $U \subset X$, quasi-psh functions $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ satisfying $\omega_\varphi := \omega + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$ in the weak sense of currents are called ω -psh functions on U . Constant functions are ω -psh functions since ω is semi-positive. A \mathcal{C}^2 -smooth function $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(X)$ has bounded Hessian, hence εu is ω -psh on X if $0 < \varepsilon$ is small enough and ω is positive (i.e. Hermitian).

Definition 1.1. We let $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ denote the set of all ω -plurisubharmonic functions which are not identically $-\infty$.

The set $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ is a closed subset of $L^1(X)$, for the L^1 -topology. We refer the reader to [Dem, GZ, Din16] for basic properties of ω -psh functions.

The complex Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ is well-defined for any ω -psh function u which is *bounded*, as follows from Bedford-Taylor theory: if $\beta = dd^c \rho$ is a Kähler form that dominates ω in a local chart, the function u is β -psh hence the positive currents $(\beta + dd^c u)^j$ are well defined for $0 \leq j \leq n$; one thus sets

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^n := \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j} (\beta + dd^c u)^j \wedge (\beta - \omega)^{n-j}.$$

We refer to [DK12] for an adaptation of [BT82] to the Hermitian context.

The mixed Monge-Ampère measures $(\omega + dd^c u)^j \wedge (\omega + dd^c v)^{n-j}$ are also well defined for any $0 \leq j \leq n$, and any bounded ω -psh functions u, v . We recall the following classical inequality (see [GL21a, Lemma 1.3]):

Lemma 1.2. *Let φ, ψ be bounded ω -psh functions in $U \subset X$ such that $\varphi \leq \psi$. Then*

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\psi=\varphi\}}(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (\omega + dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi=\varphi\}}(\omega + dd^c\psi)^n,$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

1.1.2. *Condition (B) and non-collapsing.* We always assume in this article that $\int_X \omega^n > 0$. On a few occasions we will need to assume positivity properties that are possibly slightly stronger:

Definition 1.3. We say ω satisfies condition (B) if there exists $B \geq 0$ such that

$$-B\omega^2 \leq dd^c\omega \leq B\omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -B\omega^3 \leq d\omega \wedge d^c\omega \leq B\omega^3.$$

Here are three different contexts where this condition is satisfied:

- any Hermitian metric $\omega > 0$ satisfies condition (B);
- if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a desingularization of a singular compact complex variety Y and ω_Y is a Hermitian metric, then $\omega = \pi^*\omega_Y$ satisfies condition (B);
- if ω is semi-positive and closed, then it satisfies condition (B).

Combining these one obtains further settings where condition (B) is satisfied.

Definition 1.4. We say ω is non-collapsing if for any bounded ω -psh function, the complex Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ has positive mass: $\int_X \omega_u^n > 0$.

We shall see in Corollary 1.6 below that condition (B) implies non-collapsing.

1.2. **Comparison principle.** The comparison principle plays a central role in Kähler pluripotential theory. Its proof breaks down in the Hermitian setting, as it heavily relies on the closedness of the reference form ω through the preservation of Monge-Ampère masses. In that context the following "modified comparison principle" has been established by Kołodziej-Nguyen [KN15, Theorem 0.2]:

Theorem 1.5. *Assume ω satisfies condition (B) and let u, v be bounded ω -psh functions. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we set $m_\lambda = \inf_X \{u - (1 - \lambda)v\}$. Then*

$$\left(1 - \frac{4B(n-1)^2 s}{\lambda^3}\right)^n \int_{\{u < (1-\lambda)v + m_\lambda + s\}} \omega_{(1-\lambda)v}^n \leq \int_{\{u < (1-\lambda)v + m_\lambda + s\}} \omega_u^n.$$

for all $0 < s < \frac{\lambda^3}{32B(n-1)^2}$.

The proof by Kołodziej-Nguyen relies on the main result of [DK12], together with extra fine estimates. We propose here a simplified proof.

Proof. Set $\phi := \max(u, (1 - \lambda)v + m_\lambda + s)$, $U_{\lambda,s} := \{u < (1 - \lambda)v + m_\lambda + s\}$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$ we set $T_k := \omega_u^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-k}$, and $T_l = 0$ if $l < 0$. Set $a = Bs\lambda^{-3}(n-1)^2$. We are going to prove by induction on $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ that

$$(1.1) \quad (1 - 4a) \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} T_k \leq \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} T_{k+1}.$$

The conclusion follows since $(\omega_\phi)^n = (\omega_{(1-\lambda)v})^n$ in the plurifine open set $U_{\lambda,s}$.

We first prove (1.1) for $k = 0$. Since $u \leq \phi$, Lemma 1.2 ensures that

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{u=\phi\}} \omega_\phi^n \geq \mathbf{1}_{\{u=\phi\}} \omega_u \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1}.$$

Observing that $U_{\lambda,s} = \{u < \phi\}$ we infer

$$\int_X dd^c(\phi - u) \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1} = \int_X (\omega_\phi^n - \omega_u \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1}) \geq \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_\phi^n - \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_u \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \omega_\phi^{n-1} &= (n-1)dd^c \omega \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2)d\omega \wedge d^c \omega \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-3} \\ &\leq (n-1)B\omega^2 \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2)B\omega^3 \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-3}, \end{aligned}$$

since ω satisfies condition (B). As $\phi - u \geq 0$, it follows from Stokes theorem that

$$\int_X dd^c(\phi-u) \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1} \leq (n-1)B \left\{ \int_X (\phi-u)\omega^2 \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-2} + (n-2) \int_X (\phi-u)\omega^3 \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-3} \right\}.$$

Observe that

- $\lambda\omega \leq \omega_{(1-\lambda)v}$ hence $\omega^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j} \leq \lambda^{-j}(\omega_{(1-\lambda)v})^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j}$,
- $(\omega_{(1-\lambda)v})^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j} = \omega_\phi^n$ in the plurifine open set $U_{\lambda,s}$,
- and $0 \leq \phi - u \leq s$ and $\phi - u = 0$ on $X \setminus U_{\lambda,s}$,

to conclude that $\int_X (\phi - u)\omega^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j} \leq s\lambda^{-j} \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_\phi^n$, for $j = 2, 3$, hence

$$\int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_\phi^n - \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_u \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1} \leq \int_X dd^c(\phi - u) \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1} \leq \frac{Bs(n-1)^2}{\lambda^3} \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} \omega_\phi^n,$$

since $\lambda^{-2} \leq \lambda^{-3}$. This yields (1.1) for $k = 0$.

We assume now that (1.1) holds for all $j \leq k-1$, and we check that it still holds for k . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \left(\omega_u^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+1]} \right) &= kdd^c \omega \wedge \omega_u^{k-1} \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+1]} + (n-[k+1])dd^c \omega \wedge \omega_u^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+2]} \\ &+ 2k(n-[k+1])d\omega \wedge d^c \omega \wedge \omega_u^{k-1} \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+2]} + k(k-1)d\omega \wedge d^c \omega \wedge \omega_u^{k-2} \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+1]} \\ &+ (n-[k+1])[n-(k+2)]d\omega \wedge d^c \omega \wedge \omega_u^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+3]}. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments as above therefore show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} (T_k - T_{k+1}) &\leq \int_X (T_k - T_{k+1}) = \int_X (\phi - u)dd^c(\omega_u^k \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-[k+1]}) \\ &\leq \frac{Bs}{\lambda^3} \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} (k(k-1)T_{k-2} + 2k[n-k]T_{k-1} + (n-[k+1])^2 T_k) \\ &\leq a \left(\frac{1}{(1-4a)^2} + \frac{1}{1-4a} + 1 \right) \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} T_k \leq 4a \int_{U_{\lambda,s}} T_k, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third inequality above we have used the induction hypothesis, while the fourth inequality follows from the upper bound $4a < 1/8$. From this we obtain (1.1) for k , finishing the proof. \square

Corollary 1.6. *If ω satisfies condition (B) then ω is non-collapsing.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.5 that the domination principle holds (see [LPT, Proposition 2.2]). The latter implies in particular that if u, v are ω -psh and bounded, then $e^{-v}(\omega + dd^c v)^n \geq e^{-u}(\omega + dd^c u)^n \implies v \leq u$ (see [LPT, Proposition 2.3]). There can thus be no bounded ω -psh function u such that $(\omega + dd^c u)^n = 0$. Otherwise the previous inequality applied with a constant function $v = A$ yields $u \geq A$ for any A , a contradiction. \square

2. ENVELOPES

We consider here envelopes of ω -psh functions, extending some results of [GLZ19] that have been established for Kähler manifolds.

2.1. Basic properties.

Definition 2.1. A Borel set $E \subset X$ is (locally) pluripolar if it is locally contained in the $-\infty$ locus of some psh function: for each $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x and $u \in \text{PSH}(U)$ such that $E \cap U \subset \{u = -\infty\}$.

Definition 2.2. Given a Lebesgue measurable function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the ω -psh envelope of h by

$$P_\omega(h) := (\sup\{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) : u \leq h \text{ quasi-everywhere in } X\})^*,$$

where the star means that we take the upper semi-continuous regularization, while quasi-everywhere means outside a locally pluripolar set.

When ω is Hermitian and h is $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -smooth, then so is $P_\omega(h)$ (see [Ber19, CZ19, CM20]) and one can show that

$$(2.1) \quad (\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n = \mathbf{1}_{\{P_\omega(h)=h\}}(\omega + dd^c h)^n.$$

For less regular obstacle h we have the following:

Theorem 2.3. *If h is bounded from below, quasi-l.s.c., and $P_\omega(h) < +\infty$, then*

- $P_\omega(h)$ is a bounded ω -plurisubharmonic function;
- $P_\omega(h) \leq h$ in $X \setminus P$, where P is pluripolar;
- $(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n$ is concentrated on the contact set $\{P_\omega(h) = h\}$.

Recall that a function h is quasi-lower-semicontinuous (quasi-l.s.c.) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set G of capacity smaller than ε such that h is continuous in $X \setminus G$. Quasi-psh functions are quasi-continuous (see [BT82]), as well as differences of the latter.

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of [GLZ19, Proposition 2.2, Lemma 2.3, Proposition 2.5], which deal with the case when ω is Kähler.

Since $P_\omega(h)$ is bounded from above, up to replacing h with $\min(h, C)$ with $C > \sup_X P_\omega(h)$ we can assume that h is bounded.

Step 1: h is smooth, ω is Hermitian. Building on Berman's work [Ber19], it was shown by Chu-Zhou in [CZ19] that the smooth solutions φ_β to

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi_\beta)^n = e^{\beta(\varphi_\beta - h)} \omega^n$$

converge uniformly to $P_\omega(h)$ along with uniform $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -estimates. As a consequence, the measures $(\omega + dd^c \varphi_\beta)^n$ converge weakly to $(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n$. For each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we have the inclusions of open sets $\{P_\omega(h) < h - 2\varepsilon\} \subset \{\varphi_\beta < h - \varepsilon\}$ for β large enough, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{P_\omega(h) < h - 2\varepsilon\}} (\omega + dd^c P_\omega(h))^n &\leq \liminf_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{P_\omega(h) < h - 2\varepsilon\}} (\omega + dd^c \varphi_\beta)^n \\ &\leq \liminf_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{P_\omega(h) < h - 2\varepsilon\}} e^{-\beta\varepsilon} \omega^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: h is lower semi-continuous, ω is Hermitian. If h is continuous, we can approximate it uniformly by smooth functions h_j . Letting $u_j := P(h_j)$ the previous step ensures that

$$\int_X (h_j - u_j)(\omega + dd^c u_j)^n = 0.$$

As $h_j \rightarrow h$ uniformly we also have that $u_j \rightarrow u := P(h)$ uniformly and the desired property follows from Bedford-Taylor's convergence theorem.

When h is merely lower semi-continuous, we let (h_j) denote a sequence of continuous functions which increase pointwise to h and set $u_j = P(h_j)$. Then $u_j \nearrow u$ a.e. on X for some bounded function $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. Since $u_j \leq h_j \leq h$ quasi-everywhere on X we infer $u \leq h$ quasi-everywhere on X , hence $u \leq P(h)$. For each $k < j$, the second step ensures that

$$\int_{\{u < h_k\}} (\omega + dd^c u_j)^n \leq \int_{\{u_j < h_j\}} (\omega + dd^c u_j)^n = 0.$$

Since $\{u < h_k\}$ is open, letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and then $k \rightarrow +\infty$ we arrive at

$$\int_{\{u < h\}} (\omega + dd^c u)^n = 0.$$

We also have that $P(h) \leq h$ quasi-everywhere on X , hence

$$\int_{\{u < P(h)\}} (\omega + dd^c u)^n = 0,$$

and [LPT, Proposition 2.2] then ensures that $u = P(h)$.

Step 3: h is quasi-l.s.c., ω is Hermitian. By [GLZ19, Lemma 2.4] we can find a decreasing sequence (h_j) of lsc functions such that $h_j \searrow h$ q.e. on X and $h_j \rightarrow h$ in capacity. Then $u_j := P(h_j) \searrow u := P(h)$. By Step 2 we know that for all $j > k$,

$$\int_{\{u_k < h\}} (\omega + dd^c u_j)^n \leq \int_{\{u_j < h_j\}} (\omega + dd^c u_j)^n = 0.$$

Since $\{u_k < h\}$ is quasi-open and the functions u_j are uniformly bounded, letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain

$$\int_{\{u_k < h\}} (\omega + dd^c u)^n = 0.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$ yields the desired result.

Step 4: the general case. We approximate $\omega \geq 0$ by the Hermitian forms $\omega_j = \omega + j^{-1}\omega_X > 0$. Observe that $j \mapsto u_j = P_{\omega_j}(h)$ decreases to $u = P_\omega(h)$ as j increases to $+\infty$. For $0 < k < j$, the previous step ensures that

$$\int_{\{u_k < h\}} (\omega + j^{-j}\omega_X + dd^c u_j)^n = 0.$$

As the set $\{u_k < h\}$ is quasi-open and u_j is uniformly bounded we can let $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and use Bedford-Taylor's convergence theorem to get

$$\int_{\{u_k < h\}} (\omega + dd^c u)^n = 0,$$

We finally let $k \rightarrow +\infty$ to conclude. \square

For later use we extend the latter result to a setting where $P_\omega(f)$ is not necessarily globally bounded:

Corollary 2.4. *If f is quasi-lower-semicontinuous and $P_\omega(f)$ is locally bounded in a non-empty open set $U \subset X$ then $(\omega + dd^c P_\omega(f))^n$ is a well-defined positive Borel measure in U which vanishes in $U \cap \{P_\omega(f) < f\}$.*

Proof. Let (f_j) be a sequence of l.s.c. functions decreasing to f quasi-everywhere. Then $u_j := P_\omega(f_j)$ is a bounded ω -psh function such that $(\omega + dd^c u_j)^n = 0$ on $\{u_j < f_j\}$. Since u_j decreases to $u := P_\omega(f)$, Bedford-Taylor's convergence theorem ensures that $\omega_{u_j}^n \rightarrow \omega_u^n$ in U .

Fix U' a relatively compact open set $U' \Subset U$. For each k fixed the set $\{u_k < f\}$ is quasi open and the functions u_j, u are uniformly bounded in U' , hence

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u_k < f\} \cap U'} \omega_{u_j}^n \geq \int_{\{u_k < f\} \cap U'} \omega_u^n,$$

which implies, after letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, that ω_u^n vanishes in $U' \cap \{u < f\}$. We finally let U' increase to U to conclude. \square

We shall use later on the following :

Lemma 2.5. *Let u, v be bounded ω -psh functions. Then*

- (1) $(\omega + dd^c P(\min(u, v)))^n \leq (\omega + dd^c u)^n + (\omega + dd^c v)^n$;
- (2) if $(\omega + dd^c u)^n = f dV_X$ and $(\omega + dd^c v)^n = g dV_X$, then

$$(\omega + dd^c P(\min(u, v)))^n \leq \max(f, g) dV_X,$$

while

$$(\omega + dd^c \max(u, v))^n \geq \min(f, g) dV_X.$$

Proof. We set $w = P(\min(u, v))$. Since $\min(u, v)$ is quasi-continuous, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that the Monge-Ampère measure ω_w^n has support in

$$\{P(\min(u, v)) = \min(u, v)\} = \{P(\min(u, v)) = u < v\} \cup \{P(\min(u, v)) = v\}.$$

Thus

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_w^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} \omega_w^n + \mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}} \omega_w^n.$$

Since $w = P(\min(u, v)) \leq u$ and $w = P(\min(u, v)) \leq v$, Lemma 1.2 yields

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{w=u\}} \omega_w^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u\}} \omega_u^n \leq \omega_u^n$$

as well as $\mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}} \omega_w^n \leq \omega_v^n$. Together with (2.2) we infer $\omega_w^n \leq \omega_u^n + \omega_v^n$ as claimed.

When $(\omega + dd^c u)^n = f dV_X$ and $(\omega + dd^c v)^n = g dV_X$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} \omega_w^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} f dV_X \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} \max(f, g) dV_X$$

and $\mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}} \omega_w^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}} g dV_X \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} \max(f, g) dV_X$, hence

$$\omega_w^n \leq \{\mathbf{1}_{\{w=u < v\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}}\} \max(f, g) dV_X \leq \max(f, g) dV_X.$$

The last item follows from

$$(\omega + dd^c \max(\varphi, \psi))^n \geq \mathbf{1}_{\{u \leq v\}} \omega_u^n + \mathbf{1}_{\{v > u\}} \omega_v^n \geq \min(f, g) dV_X.$$

\square

2.2. Locally vs globally pluripolar sets. A classical result of Josefson asserts that a locally pluripolar set E in \mathbb{C}^n is *globally pluripolar*, i.e. there exists a psh function $u \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$. This result has been extended to compact Kähler manifolds in [GZ05], and to the Hermitian setting in [Vu19]: if $E \subset X$ is locally pluripolar and ω_X is a Hermitian form, one can find $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X)$ such that $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$.

We further extend this result to the case of non-collapsing forms:

Lemma 2.6. *If E is (locally) pluripolar and $\omega \geq 0$ is non-collapsing then $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$ for some $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$.*

The proof is a consequence of Theorem 2.3 and analogous results established on Kähler manifolds.

Proof. As in [GZ05, Theorem 5.2] it is enough to check that $V_{E, \omega}^* \equiv +\infty$, where

$$V_{E, \omega}(x) = \sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \text{ and } \varphi \leq 0 \text{ quasi-everywhere on } E\}.$$

Here quasi-everywhere means outside a locally pluripolar set. If it is not the case then $V_{E, \omega}^*$ is a bounded ω -psh function on X . We can assume that $E \subset U \Subset V \Subset V'$ is contained in a holomorphic chart V' . By Josefson's theorem (see [GZ, Theorem 4.4]) we can find $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(V')$ a psh function in V' such that $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$. Let u_j be a sequence of smooth psh functions in a neighborhood of V such that $u_j \searrow u$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for j large enough we set

$$K_{j, N} := \{x \in V : u_j(x) \leq -N\}, \quad \varphi_{j, N} := V_{K_{j, N}, \omega}^*,$$

and note that $\varphi_{j, N} \searrow \varphi_N \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. We also have that $E \subset \cup_{j \geq 1} K_{j, N}$, hence $0 \leq \varphi_N \leq V_{E, \omega}^*$. We can thus find j_N so large that $\varphi_{j, N} \leq \sup_X V_{E, \omega}^* + 1$ for all $j \geq j_N$.

Let ρ be a smooth psh function in V such that $dd^c \rho \geq \omega$. The Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequality (see [GZ, Theorem 3.14]) ensures that, for $j \geq j_N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_{j, N}} (\omega + dd^c \varphi_{j, N})^n &\leq \int_{K_{j, N}} (dd^c(\varphi_{j, N} + \rho))^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \int_V |\varphi_{j, N}| (dd^c(\varphi_{j, N} + \rho))^n \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. The function which is zero on $K_{j, N}$ and $+\infty$ elsewhere is lower semi-continuous on X since $K_{j, N}$ is compact. It thus follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$\int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi_{j, N})^n = \int_{K_{j, N}} (\omega + dd^c \varphi_{j, N})^n \leq \frac{C'}{N}.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain $\int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi_N)^n \leq C'/N$. Now $\varphi_N \nearrow \varphi$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, for some $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ which is bounded since $0 \leq \varphi_N \leq V_{E, \omega}^*$. We thus obtain $\int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = 0$, yielding a contradiction since ω is non-collapsing and φ is bounded. \square

Since locally pluripolar sets are $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ -pluripolar, arguing as in the proof of [GLZ19, Proposition 2.2], one finally obtains:

Corollary 2.7. *Let f be a Borel function such that $P_\omega(f) \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. Then*

$$P_\omega(f) = (\sup\{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) : u \leq f \text{ in } X\})^*.$$

2.3. Domination principle. We now establish the following generalization of the domination principle:

Proposition 2.8. *Assume ω is non-collapsing and fix $c \in [0, 1)$. If u, v are bounded ω -psh functions such that $\omega_u^n \leq c\omega_v^n$ on $\{u < v\}$, then $u \geq v$.*

The usual domination principle corresponds to the case $c = 0$ (see [LPT, Proposition 2.2]).

Proof. Fixing $a > 0$ arbitrarily small, we are going to prove that $u \geq v - a$ on X . Assume by contradiction that $E = \{u < v - a\}$ is not empty. Since u, v are quasi-psh, the set E has positive Lebesgue measure. For $b > 1$ we set

$$u_b := P_\omega(bu - (b-1)v).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $(\omega + dd^c u_b)^n$ is concentrated on the set

$$D := \{u_b = bu - (b-1)v\}.$$

Note also that $b^{-1}u_b + (1 - b^{-1})v \leq u$ with equality on D . Therefore

$$\mathbf{1}_D(\omega + dd^c(b^{-1}u_b + (1 - b^{-1})v))^n \leq \mathbf{1}_D\omega_u^n,$$

as follows from Lemma 1.2, hence

$$\mathbf{1}_D b^{-n}(\omega + dd^c u_b)^n + \mathbf{1}_D(1 - b^{-1})^n(\omega + dd^c v)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_D\omega_u^n.$$

We choose b so large that $(1 - b^{-1})^n > c$. Multiplying the above inequality by $\mathbf{1}_{\{u < v\}}$ and noting that $\omega_u^n \leq c\omega_v^n$ on $\{u < v\}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{1}_{D \cap \{u < v\}}(\omega + dd^c u_b)^n = 0.$$

Since u_b is bounded and ω is non-collapsing, we know that $\omega_{u_b}^n(D) = \omega_{u_b}^n(X) > 0$. We infer that the set $D \cap \{u \geq v\}$ is not empty, and on this set we have

$$u_b = bu - (b-1)v \geq u \geq -C,$$

since u is bounded. It thus follows that $\sup_X u_b$ is uniformly bounded from below. As $b \rightarrow +\infty$ the functions $u_b - \sup_X u_b$ converge to a function u_∞ which is $-\infty$ on E , but not identically $-\infty$ hence it belongs to $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. This implies that the set E has Lebesgue measure 0, a contradiction. \square

Here is a direct consequence of the domination principle:

Corollary 2.9. *Assume ω is non-collapsing and let u, v be bounded ω -psh functions. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$e^{-\varepsilon v}(\omega + dd^c v)^n \geq e^{-\varepsilon u}(\omega + dd^c u)^n \implies v \leq u.$$

Proof. Fix $a > 0$. On the set $\{u < v - a\}$ we have $\omega_u^n \leq e^{-\varepsilon a}\omega_v^n$. Proposition 2.8 thus gives $u \geq v - a$. This is true for all $a > 0$, hence $u \geq v$. \square

3. BOUNDS ON MONGE-AMPÈRE MASSES

In the sequel we fix a Hermitian form ω_X on X .

3.1. Global bounds. Since the semi-positive $(1,1)$ -form ω is not necessarily closed, the mass of the complex Monge-Ampère measures $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ is (in general) not constantly equal to $V_\omega := \int_X \omega^n > 0$.

Definition 3.1. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ we consider

$$v_{-,j}(\omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}, u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \right\}$$

and

$$v_{+,j}(\omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}, u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \right\}.$$

We set $v_-(\omega) := v_{-,n}(\omega)$ and $v_+(\omega) = v_{+,n}(\omega)$. When $\omega > 0$ is Hermitian, the supremum and infimum in the definition of $v_{+,j}(\omega)$ and $v_{-,j}(\omega)$ can be taken over $\text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$ as follows from Demailly's approximation [Dem92] and Bedford-Taylor's convergence theorem [BT76, BT82].

It is an interesting open problem to determine when $v_-(\omega_X)$ is positive and/or $v_+(\omega_X)$ is finite. These conditions may depend on the complex structure, but they are independent of the choice of Hermitian metric.

3.1.1. *Monotonicity and invariance properties.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ be semi-positive $(1,1)$ -forms. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad v_-(\omega_1) \leq v_-(\omega_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v_+(\omega_1) \leq v_+(\omega_2).$$

Moreover

- 1) $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty \iff v_+(\omega'_X) < +\infty$ for any other Hermitian metric ω'_X .
- 2) $0 < v_-(\omega_X) \iff 0 < v_-(\omega'_X)$ for any other Hermitian metric ω'_X .

Proof. Since any ω_1 -psh function u is also ω_2 -psh, we obtain

$$\int_X (\omega_1 + dd^c u)^n \leq \int_X (\omega_2 + dd^c u)^n \leq v_+(\omega_2).$$

which shows that $v_+(\omega_1) \leq v_+(\omega_2)$. We now bound $v_-(\omega_2)$ from below. Let v be a bounded ω_2 -psh function and let $u = P_{\omega_1}(v)$ denote its ω_1 -psh envelope. Then u is a bounded ω_2 -psh function and $u \leq v$ on X . Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 2.3 thus ensure that

$$(\omega_1 + dd^c u)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{u=v\}}(\omega_2 + dd^c u)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{u=v\}}(\omega_2 + dd^c v)^n.$$

We therefore obtain $v_-(\omega_1) \leq v_-(\omega_2)$. This proves (3.1).

Let now ω, ω' be two Hermitian metrics (we simplify notations). Observe that $v_\pm(A\omega) = A^n v_\pm(\omega)$ for all $A > 0$. Since $A^{-1}\omega' \leq \omega \leq A\omega'$ for an appropriate choice of the constant $A > 1$, items 1) and 2) follow from (3.1). \square

We now establish bounds on the mixed Monge-Ampère quantities:

Proposition 3.3.

- (1) *One always has $v_{+,1}(\omega) < +\infty$.*
- (2) *If ω is Hermitian then $0 < v_{-,1}(\omega)$.*
- (3) *If $dd^c \omega^{n-2} = 0$ then $v_{+,2}(\omega) < +\infty$.*
- (4) *If $dd^c \omega = 0$ and $dd^c \omega^2 = 0$ then $v_{-,j}(\omega) = v_{+,j}(\omega) = V_\omega \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$.*
- (5) *For all $0 \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$ one has $v_{+,\ell}(\omega) \leq 2^j v_{+,j}(\omega)$.*
- (6) *$v_{+,n-1}(\omega) < +\infty$ if and only if $v_{+,n}(\omega) < +\infty$.*

A Hermitian metric such that $dd^c(\omega^{n-2}) = 0$ is called Astheno-Kähler. These metrics play an important role in the study of harmonic maps (see [JY93]). A Hermitian metric satisfying $dd^c\omega = 0$ is called SKT or pluriclosed in the literature. When $n = 3$ the Astheno-Kähler and the pluriclosed condition coincide, and the third item is due to Chiose [Chi16, Question 0.8]. Examples of compact complex manifolds admitting a pluriclosed metric can be found in [FPS04, Ot20].

Condition (4) has been introduced by Guan-Li in [GL10]. It has been shown by Chiose [Chi16] that it is equivalent to the invariance of Monge-Ampère masses: $\int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n = \int_X \omega^n$ for all smooth ω -psh functions if and only if $dd^c\omega^j = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2$. Note that any compact complex surface admits a Gauduchon metric $dd^c\omega = 0$ [Gaud77], which also satisfies $dd^c\omega^2 = 0$ for bidegree reasons.

Proof. One can assume without loss of generality that $\omega \leq \tilde{\omega}$, where $\tilde{\omega}$ is a Gauduchon metric. It follows that for any $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$,

$$\int_X (\omega + dd^c\varphi) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \leq \int_X (\omega + dd^c\varphi) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = \int_X \omega \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1},$$

hence $v_{+,1}(\omega) \leq \int_X \omega \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} < +\infty$.

If ω is Hermitian one can similarly bound from below ω by a Gauduchon form and conclude that $v_{-,1}(\omega) > 0$.

We claim that $\int_X (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^2 \wedge \omega^{n-2} \leq M$ is uniformly bounded from above when $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ is normalized and $dd^c\omega^{n-2} = 0$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^2 \wedge \omega^{n-2} &= \int_X \omega^n + 2 \int_X \omega^{n-1} \wedge dd^c\varphi + \int_X \omega^{n-2} \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^2 \\ &= \int_X \omega^n + 2 \int_X \varphi dd^c\omega^{n-1} - \int_X \varphi dd^c\omega^{n-2} \wedge dd^c\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral vanishes since $dd^c\omega^{n-2} = 0$. The second one is uniformly bounded since the functions φ belong to a compact subset of $L^1(X)$. Altogether this shows that $v_{+,2}(\omega) < +\infty$ if $dd^c(\omega^{n-2}) = 0$.

Since $dd^c(\omega^2) = 2d\omega \wedge d^c\omega + 2\omega \wedge dd^c\omega$, the Guan-Li condition is equivalent to $dd^c\omega = 0$ and $d\omega \wedge d^c\omega = 0$. For $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ we use the binomial expansion of the Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c u)^n$ to obtain

$$\int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n = \int_X \omega^n + n \int_X \omega^{n-1} \wedge dd^c u + \dots + n \int_X \omega \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} + \int_X (dd^c u)^n.$$

Observe that $dd^c\{du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-2-j}\} = -(dd^c u)^{n-j}$, while $\int_X (dd^c u)^n = 0$ by Stokes theorem, hence

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c\{\omega^j \wedge du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-2-j}\} &= -\omega^j \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-j} \\ &+ j\omega^{j-1} \wedge dd^c\omega \wedge du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-2-j} \\ &+ j(j-1)\omega^{j-2} \wedge d\omega \wedge d^c\omega \wedge du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-2-j}. \end{aligned}$$

If $dd^c\omega = 0$ and $d\omega \wedge d^c\omega = 0$ we infer from Stokes theorem $\int_X \omega^j \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-j} = 0$, hence $\int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n = \int_X \omega^n$ for all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$, showing that $v_-(\omega) = v_+(\omega) = V_\omega$ is both finite and positive. Expanding similarly the mixed Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c u)^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}$ one obtains 4).

Observe that for any $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$ one has

$$(3.2) \quad \int_X (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^\ell \wedge \omega^{n-\ell} \leq \int_X (2\omega + dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge \omega^{n-j} \leq 2^j v_{+,j}(\omega).$$

In particular $v_{+,n-1}(\omega) \leq 2^n v_{+,n}(\omega)$ hence $v_{+,n}(\omega) < +\infty \Rightarrow v_{+,n-1}(\omega) < +\infty$. We finally show conversely that $v_{+,n-1}(\omega) < +\infty \Rightarrow v_{+,n}(\omega) < +\infty$ by proving

$$v_{+,n}(\omega) \leq 2^{2n-2} v_{+,n-1}(\omega).$$

Observe indeed that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi - \omega)^n \\ &= \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k \\ &\geq \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n - \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} \binom{n}{2k+1} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^{n-2k-1} \wedge \omega^{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2) we thus get

$$v_{+,n}(\omega) \leq \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 \leq n} \binom{n}{2k+1} 2^{n-1} v_{+,n-1}(\omega) = 2^{2n-2} v_{+,n-1}(\omega).$$

□

3.1.2. *Uniformly bounded functions.* Restricting to uniformly bounded ω -psh functions, it is natural to consider

$$v_M^-(\omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n : u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \text{ with } -M \leq u \leq 0 \right\}$$

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and

$$v_M^+(\omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n : u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \text{ with } -M \leq u \leq 0 \right\}.$$

These quantities are always under control as we now explain:

Proposition 3.4. *Assume ω is non-collapsing. For any $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$, one has*

$$0 < v_M^-(\omega) \leq v_M^+(\omega) < +\infty.$$

Proof. The finiteness of $v_M^+(\omega)$ follows easily from integration by parts, it is e.g. a simple consequence of [DK12, Theorem 3.5].

In order to show that $v_M^-(\omega)$ is positive we argue by contradiction. Assume there exists $u_j \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ such that $-M \leq u_j \leq 0$ and $\int_X (\omega + dd^c u_j)^n \leq 2^{-j}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, the sequence

$$k \mapsto v_{j,k} := P_\omega(\min(u_j, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_{j+k}))$$

decreases towards a ω -psh function w_j such that $-M \leq w_j \leq 0$. It follows therefore from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\int_X (\omega + dd^c w_j)^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X (\omega + dd^c v_{j,k})^n \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \int_X (\omega + dd^c v_{j+\ell})^n \leq 2^{-j+1}.$$

Thus the sequence $j \mapsto w_j$ increases to a bounded ω -psh function w such that $(\omega + dd^c w)^n = 0$, which yields a contradiction. □

Example 3.5. We provide here an example of a semi-positive form ω such that $\int_X \omega^n > 0$ but ω is collapsing, in particular $v_-(\omega) = 0$. Let $X = Y \times Z$ where Y, Z are two compact complex manifolds of dimension $m \geq 1, p \geq 1$ respectively, and $\dim X = n = p + m$. Fix a smooth function u on Y such that $\omega_Y + dd^c u < 0$

is negative in a small open set $U \subset Y$. Let $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ be a cut-off function on Y supported in U . The smooth $(1, 1)$ -form ω defined by

$$\omega = \rho \circ \pi_1(\pi_1^* \omega_Y + \pi_2^* \omega_Z).$$

is semipositive on X and satisfies $\omega(y, z) = 0$ for $y \notin U$.

Set now $\phi := P_\omega(u \circ \pi_1)$ and let $\mathcal{C} := \{\phi = u \circ \pi_1\}$ denote the contact set. The Monge-Ampère measure $(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n$ is concentrated on \mathcal{C} . Arguing as in [Ber09, Proposition 3.1] one can show that $\mathcal{C} \subset \{x \in X, \omega + dd^c u \circ \pi_1(x) \geq 0\}$. Since $\omega + dd^c(u \circ \pi_1) < 0$ is negative in $U \times Z$, it follows that $\mathcal{C} \subset X \setminus (U \times Z)$. Now $\omega = 0$ outside $U \times Z$, hence

$$(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(dd^c u \circ \pi_1)^n = 0,$$

because $u \circ \pi_1$ depends only on y . It thus follows that $(\omega + dd^c \phi)^n = 0$ on X .

3.2. Bimeromorphic invariance.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper holomorphic map between compact complex manifolds of dimension n , equipped with Hermitian forms ω_X, ω_Y . Then*

- $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty \implies v_+(\omega_Y) < +\infty$;
- $v_-(\omega_Y) > 0 \implies v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ if f has connected fibers.

It follows from Zariski's main theorem that f has connected fibers if it is bimeromorphic.

Proof. Up to rescaling, we can assume that $f^* \omega_Y \leq \omega_X$. Fix $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(Y, \omega_Y) \cap L^\infty(Y)$. Then $\varphi \circ f \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X)$ with

$$\int_Y (\omega_Y + dd^c \varphi)^n = \int_X (f^* \omega_Y + dd^c \varphi \circ f)^n \leq \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c \varphi \circ f)^n \leq v_+(\omega_X),$$

thus $v_+(\omega_Y) \leq v_+(\omega_X)$ and the first assertion is proved.

Consider now $\psi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and set $u = P_{f^* \omega_Y}(\psi)$. The function u is $f^* \omega_Y$, hence plurisubharmonic on the fibers of f . If the latter are connected we obtain that u is constant on them, i.e. $u = \varphi \circ f$ for some function $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(Y, \omega_Y) \cap L^\infty(Y)$. Since $(f^* \omega_Y + dd^c u)^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{u=\psi\}}(f^* \omega_Y + dd^c \psi)^n$, we infer

$$v_-(\omega_Y) \leq \int_Y (\omega_Y + dd^c \varphi)^n = \int_X (f^* \omega_Y + dd^c u)^n \leq \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c \psi)^n$$

so that $v_-(\omega_Y) \leq v_-(\omega_X)$, proving the second assertion. \square

We conversely show that the properties $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ and $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ are invariant under blow ups and blow downs with smooth centers:

Theorem 3.7. *Let X and Y be compact complex manifolds which are bimeromorphically equivalent. Then*

- $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ if and only if $v_+(\omega_Y) < +\infty$;
- $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ if and only if $v_-(\omega_Y) > 0$.

Proof. A celebrated result of Hironaka ensures that any bimeromorphic map between compact complex manifolds is a finite composition of blow ups and blow downs with smooth centers. We can thus assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of Y along a smooth center.

We fix ψ a quasi-plurisubharmonic function such that $\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c \psi \geq \delta \omega_X$. The existence of ψ follows from a classical argument in complex geometry (see [BL70], [FT09, Proposition 3.2]). By Demailly's approximation theorem we can

further assume that ψ has analytic singularities. Up to scaling we can assume without loss of generality that $\delta = 1$, and we set $\Omega = \{x \in X : \psi(x) > -\infty\}$.

We already know by Lemma 3.6 that $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty \implies v_+(\omega_Y) < +\infty$. Assume conversely that $v_+(\omega_Y) < +\infty$. For any $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c \varphi)^n &\leq \int_\Omega (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(\psi + \varphi))^n \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\pi(\Omega)} (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(\max[\psi + \varphi, -j]))^n. \end{aligned}$$

The function $u_j = \max[\psi + \varphi, -j]$ is $\pi^* \omega_Y$ -psh and bounded in Ω . It is constant on the fibers of π , hence $u_j = v_j \circ \pi$ with $v_j \in \text{PSH}(\pi(\Omega), \omega_Y) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. As v_j is bounded, it extends trivially through the analytic set $\pi(\partial\Omega)$ as a bounded ω_Y -psh function. Thus

$$\int_{\pi(\Omega)} (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c u_j)^n = \int_Y (\omega_Y + dd^c v_j)^n \leq v_+(\omega_Y)$$

yields $v_+(\omega_X) \leq v_+(\omega_Y) < +\infty$.

We now assume that $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$. Pick $v \in \text{PSH}(Y, \omega_Y) \cap L^\infty(Y)$ and set $u = P_{\omega_X}(v \circ \pi - \psi)$. Observe that $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and recall that $(\omega_X + dd^c u)^n$ is concentrated on the contact set $\mathcal{C} = \{u + \psi = v \circ \pi\}$ (see Theorem 2.3). Since $u + \psi$ and $v \circ \pi$ are both $\pi^* \omega_Y$ -psh, locally bounded in Ω , with $u + \psi \leq v \circ \pi$, it follows from Lemma 1.2 that

$$1_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(u + \psi))^n \leq 1_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c v \circ \pi)^n \leq (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c v \circ \pi)^n.$$

Now $\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(u + \psi) \geq \omega_X + dd^c u$ and $(\omega_X + dd^c u)^n$ is concentrated on \mathcal{C} so

$$1_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(u + \psi))^n \geq (\omega_X + dd^c u)^n.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} v_-(\omega_X) \leq \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c u)^n &\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c(u + \psi))^n \\ &\leq \int_Y (\pi^* \omega_Y + dd^c v \circ \pi)^n = \int_Y (\omega_Y + dd^c v)^n, \end{aligned}$$

showing that $v_-(\omega_Y) \geq v_-(\omega_X) > 0$. The reverse implication $v_-(\omega_Y) > 0 \implies v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ follows from Lemma 3.6. \square

Recall that a compact complex manifold X belongs to the Fujiki class \mathcal{C} if there exists a holomorphic bimeromorphic map $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$, where Y is compact Kähler. Since $v_+(\omega_X) = v_-(\omega_X) = \int_X \omega_X^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ when ω_X is a Kähler form, we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.8. *If X belongs to the Fujiki class \mathcal{C} then*

$$0 < v_-(\omega_X) \leq v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty.$$

4. WEAK TRANSCENDENTAL MORSE INEQUALITIES

4.1. Nef and big forms. Recall that the Bott-Chern cohomology group $H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the quotient of closed real smooth $(1, 1)$ -forms, by the image of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ under the dd^c -operator. This is a finite dimensional vector space as X is compact.

Nefness and bigness are fundamental positivity properties of holomorphic line bundles in complex algebraic geometry (see [Laz]). Their transcendental counterparts have been defined and studied by Demailly (see [Dem]):

Definition 4.1.

- A cohomology class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is nef if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a smooth closed real $(1, 1)$ -form $\theta_\varepsilon \in \alpha$ such that $\theta_\varepsilon \geq -\varepsilon\omega_X$.
- A *Hermitian current* on X is a positive current T of bidegree $(1, 1)$ which dominates a Hermitian form, i.e. there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $T \geq \delta\omega_X$.
- A cohomology class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is big if it can be represented by a closed Hermitian current (a Kähler current).

It follows from an approximation result of Demailly [Dem92] that one can weakly approximate a Hermitian current by Hermitian currents with analytic singularities. In particular a big cohomology class can be represented by a Kähler current with analytic singularities.

By analogy with the above setting, we propose the following definitions:

Definition 4.2. Let ω be a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form on X .

- We say that ω is nef if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a smooth quasi-plurisubharmonic function φ_ε such that $\omega + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon \geq -\varepsilon\omega_X$.
- We say that ω is big if there exists a ω -psh function ρ with analytic singularities such that $\omega + dd^c\rho$ dominates a Hermitian form.

Note that $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ is non empty in both cases: indeed $\rho \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ in the latter case, while one can extract $\varphi_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ in the former, normalizing the potentials φ_{ε_j} by imposing $\sup_X \varphi_{\varepsilon_j} = 0$.

When X is a compact Kähler manifold and $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is nef with $\alpha^n > 0$, a celebrated result of Demailly-Păun [DP04, Theorem 0.5] ensures the existence of a Kähler current representing α . This result is the key step in establishing a transcendental Nakai-Moishezon criterion (see [DP04, Main theorem]).

We study in the sequel a possible extension of this result to the Hermitian setting. We thus need to extend the definition of v_- to nef forms:

Definition 4.3. If ω is a nef $(1, 1)$ -form, we set

$$\hat{v}_-(\omega) := \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} v_-(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X).$$

Although the form $\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X$ needs not be semi-positive, one can find by definition a semi-positive form $\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon$ cohomologous to $\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X$, and it is understood here that $v_-(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X) := v_-(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon)$. By (3.1), the definition of $\hat{v}_-(\omega)$ is independent of the choice of the Hermitian form ω_X .

It is natural to expect that this definition is consistent with the previous one when ω is semi-positive, and that $\hat{v}_-(\omega) = \alpha^n$ when ω is a closed form representing a nef class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$:

Lemma 4.4. *If ω is semi-positive then $\hat{v}_-(\omega) = v_-(\omega)$. If $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ and ω is a closed form representing a nef class in $H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $\hat{v}_-(\omega) = \alpha^n$.*

When X is Kähler, it is classical that any nef class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\alpha^n \geq 0$. This inequality is no longer obvious on an arbitrary hermitian manifold (we thank J.-P.Demailly for emphasizing this issue) but, as a consequence of the above lemma, it remains true when $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$.

Proof. Assume first that ω is semi-positive and set $\omega_\varepsilon := \omega + \varepsilon\omega_X$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Proposition 3.2 ensures that $v_-(\omega) \leq v_-(\omega_\varepsilon)$, hence $v_-(\omega) \leq \hat{v}_-(\omega)$. On the other

hand, for any $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n &= \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u - \varepsilon \omega_X)^n \\ &\geq \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u)^n - C\varepsilon \\ &\geq \hat{v}_-(\omega) - C\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on u , but it is harmless as we will let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ while keeping u fixed. Doing so we obtain $\int_X (\omega + dd^c u)^n \geq \hat{v}_-(\omega)$, and taking infimum over such u we obtain $v_-(\omega) \geq \hat{v}_-(\omega)$, proving the first statement.

Assume now that ω is closed and $\{\omega\} \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is nef. We can also assume that $-\omega_X \leq \omega \leq \omega_X$. We pick $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega + \varepsilon \omega_X) \cap C^\infty(X)$ and observe that $\text{PSH}(X, \omega + \varepsilon \omega_X) \subset \text{PSH}(X, 2\omega_X)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, hence

$$\int_X (\omega + \varepsilon \omega_X + dd^c \varphi)^n = \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n + \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \varepsilon^j \int_X \omega_X^j \wedge (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^{n-j}.$$

Writing $\omega + dd^c \varphi = (2\omega_X + dd^c \varphi) - (2\omega_X - \omega)$, expanding $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^{n-j}$ accordingly and using $0 \leq 2\omega_X - \omega \leq 3\omega_X$, we obtain that $\left| \int_X \omega_X^j \wedge (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^{n-j} \right|$ is bounded from above by a finite sum of terms $\int_X \omega_X^\ell \wedge (\omega_X + dd^c \varphi)^{n-\ell}$, each of which is bounded from above by $3^n v_+(\omega_X)$. Since $\int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \alpha^n$, we end up with

$$\alpha^n - C\varepsilon v_+(\omega_X) \leq \int_X (\omega + \varepsilon \omega_X + dd^c \varphi)^n \leq \alpha^n + C\varepsilon v_+(\omega_X),$$

using that $\varepsilon^j \leq \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. We infer $\hat{v}_-(\omega) = \alpha^n$. \square

4.2. Demailly-Păun conjecture.

4.2.1. *Hermitian currents.* The following is a natural generalization of [DP04, Conjecture 0.8]:

Question 4.5. Let X be a compact complex manifold. Let ω be a nef $(1, 1)$ -form such that $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$. Does there exist a ω -psh function φ with analytic singularities such that the current $\omega + dd^c \varphi$ dominates a Hermitian form ?

We provide a partial answer to Question 4.5 following some ideas of Chiose [Chi13]:

Theorem 4.6. *Let ω be a nef $(1, 1)$ -form.*

- *If $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$ and $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ then ω is big.*
- *Conversely if ω is big and $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$ then $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$.*

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that $\omega \leq \omega_X/2$.

We first assume that $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$, $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$, and we prove that ω is big. An application of Hahn-Banach theorem as in [Lam99, Lemma 3.3] shows that the existence of a Hermitian current $\omega + dd^c \psi \geq \delta \omega_X$ is equivalent to the inequalities

$$\int_X \omega \wedge \theta^{n-1} \geq \delta \int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta^{n-1},$$

for all Gauduchon metrics θ . Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence of Gauduchon metrics θ_j such that

$$\int_X \omega \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{j} \int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1}.$$

We can normalize the latter so that $\int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} = 1$.

Set $\omega_j = \omega + \frac{1}{j}\omega_X$ and note that $\omega_j \leq \omega_X$ for $j \geq 2$. Since ω is nef, one can find $\psi_j \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\omega_j + dd^c\psi_j$ is a Hermitian form, hence the main result of [TW10] ensures that there exist constants $C_j > 0$ and $\varphi_j \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_j) \cap C^\infty(X)$ such that $\sup_X \varphi_j = 0$ and

$$(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n = C_j \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$C_j = \int_X (\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n \geq v_-(\omega_j) \geq \hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0,$$

while by assumption $\int_X (\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^{n-1} \wedge \omega_X \leq M := v_{+,n-1}(\omega_X)$ is bounded from above.

We set $\alpha_j := \omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j$ and consider

$$E := \{x \in X, \omega_X \wedge \alpha_j^{n-1} \geq 2M\omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1}\}.$$

This set has small $\omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1}$ measure since

$$\int_E \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2M} \int_X \omega_X \wedge \alpha_j^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

thus $\int_{X \setminus E} \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, thanks to the normalization $\int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} = 1$.

We can compare ω_X and α_j in $X \setminus E$ since

$$\omega_X \wedge \alpha_j^{n-1} \leq 2M\omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} = \frac{2M}{C_j} \alpha_j^n \leq \frac{2M}{\hat{v}_-(\omega)} \alpha_j^n.$$

Thus $\alpha_j \geq \frac{\hat{v}_-(\omega)}{2nM} \omega_X$ in $X \setminus E$ and we infer

$$\int_{X \setminus E} \alpha_j \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \geq \frac{\hat{v}_-(\omega)}{2nM} \int_{X \setminus E} \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \geq \frac{\hat{v}_-(\omega)}{4nM} > 0,$$

which contradicts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \alpha_j \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} &= \int_X \omega \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} + \frac{1}{j} \int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} + \int_X dd^c\varphi_j \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{j} \int_X \omega_X \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} = \frac{2}{j} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\int_X dd^c\varphi_j \wedge \theta_j^{n-1} = 0$ follows from the Gauduchon property of θ_j .

We next assume that ω is big, $v_-(\omega_X) > 0$, and we prove that $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$ by an argument similar to that of Theorem 3.7. Fix a ω -psh function ψ with analytic singularities such that $\omega + dd^c\psi \geq \delta\omega_X$ for some $\delta > 0$. We can assume that $\delta = 1$ and $\sup_X \psi = 0$. We prove that $v_-(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X) \geq v_-(\omega_X)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega + \varepsilon\omega_X) \cap L^\infty(X)$, and set $v = P_{\omega_X}(u - \psi)$. The open set $G = \{\psi > -1\}$ is not empty hence it is non-pluripolar. On G we have $u \leq u - \psi \leq u + 1 \leq \sup_X u + 1$. It follows that v is a bounded ω_X -psh function and $(\omega_X + dd^c v)^n$ is supported on the contact set $\mathcal{C} = \{v = u - \psi\} \subset \{\psi > -\infty\}$. Since $v + \psi \leq u$ with equality on $\{\psi > -\infty\} \cap \mathcal{C}$, Lemma 1.2 ensures that

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\psi > -\infty\} \cap \mathcal{C}}(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c(v + \psi))^n \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi > -\infty\} \cap \mathcal{C}}(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c u)^n.$$

Using $\omega + dd^c\psi \geq \omega_X$ and the fact that $(\omega_X + dd^c v)^n(\psi = -\infty) = 0$ since v is bounded, we thus arrive at

$$\int_X (\omega_X + dd^c v)^n \leq \int_X (\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c u)^n.$$

We thus get $v_-(\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X) \geq v_-(\omega_X) > 0$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, hence $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > 0$. \square

This result provides in particular the following answer to Question 4.5:

Corollary 4.7. *The answer to Question 4.5 is positive if*

- either $n = 2$ (X is any compact surface);
- or $n = 3$ and X admits a pluriclosed metric;
- or n is arbitrary and X belongs to the Fujiki class;
- or else n is arbitrary and X admits a Guan-Li metric.

Let us stress that the 2-dimensional setting is due to Buchdahl [Buch99] and Lamari [Lam99]. The three dimensional case follows from Proposition 3.3.

4.2.2. *Transcendental Grauert-Riemenschneider conjecture.* Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a semi-positive holomorphic line bundle with $c_1(L)^n > 0$. An influential conjecture of Grauert-Riemenschneider [GR70] asked whether the existence of such a line bundle implies that X is Moishezon (i.e. bimeromorphically equivalent to a projective manifold).

This conjecture has been solved positively by Siu in [Siu84] (see also [Dem85]). Demailly and Păun have proposed a transcendental version of this conjecture:

Conjecture 4.8. [DP04, Conjecture 0.8] *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n . Assume that X possesses a nef class $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha^n > 0$. Then X belongs to the Fujiki class.*

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.6, Lemma 4.4, and Corollary 3.8, we obtain the following answer to the transcendental Grauert-Riemenschneider conjecture:

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be a compact n -dimensional complex manifold. Let $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nef class such that $\alpha^n > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- α contains a Kähler current
- $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$.

Since a Kähler current with analytic singularities can be desingularized after finitely many blow-ups producing a Kähler form, we obtain:

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\alpha \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nef class such that $\alpha^n > 0$. Then X belongs to the Fujiki class if and only if $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$.*

4.3. **Transcendental holomorphic Morse inequalities.** The following conjecture has been proposed by Boucksom-Demailly-Păun-Peternell, as a transcendental counterpart to the holomorphic Morse inequalities for integral classes due to Demailly:

Conjecture 4.11. [BDPP13, Conjecture 10.1.ii] *Let X be a compact n -dimensional complex manifold. Let $\alpha, \beta \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ be nef classes such that $\alpha^n > n\alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta$. Then $\alpha - \beta$ contains a Kähler current and $\text{Vol}(\alpha - \beta) \geq \alpha^n - n\alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta$.*

Note that this contains [DP04, Conjecture 0.8] as a particular case ($\beta = 0$). This conjecture has recently been established by Witt Nyström [WN19] when X is projective. Building on works of Xiao [Xiao15] and Popovici [Pop16] we propose the following characterization which answers the qualitative part:

Theorem 4.12. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ be nef classes such that $\alpha^n > n\alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta$. The following are equivalent:*

- $\alpha - \beta$ contains a Kähler current;
- $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$.

Proof. If $\alpha - \beta$ contains a Kähler current, then X belongs to the Fujiki class and we have already observed that $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$ (see Corollary 3.8).

We now assume that $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$. Let ω and ω' be smooth closed real $(1, 1)$ -forms representing α and β respectively. We can assume without loss of generality that $\omega \leq \frac{\omega_X}{2}$ and $\omega' \leq \frac{\omega_X}{2}$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we fix smooth functions $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega + \varepsilon\omega_X)$ and $\psi_\varepsilon \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega' + \varepsilon\omega_X)$ such that $\omega_\varepsilon := \omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c\varphi_\varepsilon$ and $\omega'_\varepsilon := \omega' + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c\psi_\varepsilon$ are hermitian forms.

Assume by contradiction that $\alpha - \beta$ does not contain any Kähler current. It follows from Hahn-Banach theorem as in [Lam99, Lemma 3.3] that there exist Gauduchon metrics η_ε such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon - \omega'_\varepsilon) \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} \leq \varepsilon \int_X \omega'_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1}.$$

We normalize η_ε so that $\int_X \omega'_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} = 1$.

Using [TW10] we can find unique constants $c_\varepsilon > 0$ and normalized functions $u_\varepsilon \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega_\varepsilon) \cap C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$(\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n = c_\varepsilon \omega'_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1}, \quad \sup_X u_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Our normalization for η_ε yields $c_\varepsilon = \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n$. Applying Lemma 4.13 below with $\theta_1 = \omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon$, $\theta_2 = c_\varepsilon \omega'_\varepsilon$ and $\theta_3 = \eta_\varepsilon$, and recalling that $\theta_1^n = \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}$ with $\int_X \theta_1^n = \int_X \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1} = c_\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon) \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} \right) \left(\int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge \omega'_\varepsilon \right) \geq \frac{c_\varepsilon}{n}.$$

Now $\int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon) \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} = \int_X \omega_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ because η_ε is a Gauduchon metric, while (4.1) yields $\int_X \omega_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_X \omega'_\varepsilon \wedge \eta_\varepsilon^{n-1} = (1 + \varepsilon)$, hence

$$(1 + \varepsilon) \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge \omega'_\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{n} \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n.$$

We finally claim that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n \rightarrow \alpha^n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge \omega'_\varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta,$$

which yields the contradiction $n\alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta \geq \alpha^n$.

We first explain why $\int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n \rightarrow \alpha^n$. Stokes theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^n &= \int_X (\omega + dd^c(u_\varepsilon + \varphi_\varepsilon))^n = \int_X (\omega + \varepsilon\omega_X + dd^c(u_\varepsilon + \varphi_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon\omega_X)^n \\ &= \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} \varepsilon^{n-j} (-1)^{n-j} \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^j \wedge \omega_X^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \leq \frac{\omega_X}{2}$, the function $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon + \varphi_\varepsilon$ is ω_X -psh for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, hence

$$0 \leq \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^j \wedge \omega_X^{n-j} \leq \int_X (\omega_X + dd^c v_\varepsilon)^j \wedge \omega_X^{n-j} \leq 2^n v_+(\omega_X),$$

as follows from Proposition 3.3. We infer

$$\left| \alpha^n - \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^n \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} \varepsilon^{n-j} 2^n v_+(\omega_X) \leq 4^n \varepsilon v_+(\omega_X).$$

The conclusion thus follows by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We similarly can check that

$$\left| \alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta - \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge \omega'_\varepsilon \right| \leq 2 \cdot 6^n \varepsilon v_+(\omega_X).$$

Using Stokes theorem again we indeed obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{n-1} \cdot \beta &= \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge (\omega' + dd^c \psi_\varepsilon) \\ &= \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon - \varepsilon \omega_X)^{n-1} \wedge (\omega'_\varepsilon - \varepsilon \omega_X) \\ &= \int_X (\omega_\varepsilon + dd^c u_\varepsilon)^{n-1} \wedge \omega'_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Each term $\int_X (\omega_X + dd^c v_\varepsilon)^\ell \wedge (\omega_X + dd^c \psi_\varepsilon)^p \wedge \omega_X^q$, with $\ell + p + q = n$, is bounded from above by $3^n v_+(\omega_X)$, as one can check by observing that the function $\frac{v_\varepsilon + \psi_\varepsilon}{3}$ is ω_X -psh with

$$\int_X (\omega_X + dd^c v_\varepsilon)^\ell \wedge (\omega_X + dd^c \psi_\varepsilon)^p \wedge \omega_X^q \leq 3^n \int_X \left(\omega_X + dd^c \frac{v_\varepsilon + \psi_\varepsilon}{3} \right)^n.$$

□

We have used in the previous proof the following observation of Popovici:

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be hermitian forms on X . Then*

$$\left(\int_X \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1} \right) \left(\int_X \theta_1^{n-1} \wedge \theta_2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_X \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n}} \theta_1^n \right)^2.$$

In particular if $\theta_1^n = \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}$, then

$$\left(\int_X \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1} \right) \left(\int_X \theta_1^{n-1} \wedge \theta_2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_X \theta_1^n \right)^2.$$

We provide the proof as a courtesy to the reader.

Proof. It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\left(\int_X \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1} \right) \left(\int_X \theta_1^{n-1} \wedge \theta_2 \right) \geq \left(\int_X \sqrt{\frac{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n} \frac{\theta_1^{n-1} \wedge \theta_2}{\theta_1^n}} \theta_1^n \right)^2.$$

The elementary pointwise estimate

$$Tr_{\theta_3}(\theta_1) Tr_{\theta_1}(\theta_2) \geq Tr_{\theta_3}(\theta_2).$$

is [Pop16, Lemma 3.1]. Multiplying by $\frac{\theta_3^n}{\theta_1^n}$ it can be reformulated as

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n} \cdot \frac{\theta_2 \wedge \theta_1^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n} \geq \frac{1}{n} \frac{\theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n}.$$

The first inequality follows. Moreover when $\theta_1^n = \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}$, we infer

$$\int_X \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2 \wedge \theta_3^{n-1}}{\theta_1^n}} \theta_1^n = \int_X \theta_1^n.$$

□

Motivated by possible extensions of the conjectures of Demailly-Păun and Boucksom-Demailly-Păun-Peternell, we introduce the following:

Definition 4.14. Given $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ hermitian forms we consider

$$v_-(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) := \inf \left\{ \int_X (\omega_1 + dd^c \varphi_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\omega_n + dd^c \varphi_n), \varphi_j \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_j) \right\},$$

and

$$v_+(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) := \sup \left\{ \int_X (\omega_1 + dd^c \varphi_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\omega_n + dd^c \varphi_n), \varphi_j \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_j) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\omega_j) := \text{PSH}(X, \omega_j) \cap L^\infty(X)$. If the ω_j 's are merely nef we set

$$\hat{v}_-(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) := \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} v_-(\omega_1 + \varepsilon \omega_X, \dots, \omega_n + \varepsilon \omega_X).$$

and

$$\hat{v}_+(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) := \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} v_+(\omega_1 + \varepsilon \omega_X, \dots, \omega_n + \varepsilon \omega_X).$$

A straightforward generalization of Theorem 4.12 along the lines of Theorem 4.6 is the following:

Theorem 4.15. *Let X be a compact n -dimensional complex manifold such that $v_+(\omega_X) < +\infty$. Let ω, ω' be nef $(1, 1)$ -forms. If $\hat{v}_-(\omega) > n\hat{v}_+(\omega, \dots, \omega, \omega')$ then the form $\omega - \omega'$ is big.*

We leave the technical details to the reader.

REFERENCES

- [BT76] E. Bedford, B.A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation*. Invent. Math. **37** (1976), no. 1, 1–44.
- [BT82] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*. Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1–40.
- [BL70] M. Berger and A. Lascoux, *Variétés Kähleriennes compactes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 154, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [Ber09] R. J. Berman, *Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for line bundles over projective manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009) no. 5, 1485–1524.
- [Ber19] R. J. Berman, *From Monge-Ampère equations to envelopes and geodesic rays in the zero temperature limit*, Math. Z. **291** (2019), no. 1-2, 365–394.
- [BDPP13] S. Boucksom, J.P. Demailly, M. Păun, T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*. J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201–248.
- [Buch99] N. Buchdahl, *On compact Kähler surfaces*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302.
- [Chi13] I. Chiose, *The Kähler rank of compact complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **26**, no. 1, 603–615.

- [Chi16] I. Chiose, *On the invariance of the total Monge-Ampère volume of Hermitian metrics*, Preprint arXiv:1609.05945.
- [CZ19] J. Chu, B. Zhou, *Optimal regularity of plurisubharmonic envelopes on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), no. 2, 371–380.
- [CM20] J. Chu, N. McCleerey, *Fully Non-Linear Degenerate Elliptic Equations in Complex Geometry*, Preprint arXiv2010.03431.
- [Dem85] J.P. Demailly, Une preuve simple de la conjecture de Grauert-Riemenschneider. Séminaire d'Analyse Lelong-Dolbeault-Skoda, 1985/1986, 24-47, L.N.M., 1295, Springer.
- [Dem92] J.P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*. J. Algebraic Geom. 1 (1992), no. 3, 361–409.
- [Dem] J.P. Demailly, *Analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, 1. International Press; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012. viii+231 pp.
- [DP04] J.P. Demailly, M. Păun, *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*. Ann. of Math. (2) 159 (2004), no. 3, 1247–1274.
- [Din16] S. Dinew, *Pluripotential theory on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 25 (2016), no. 1, 91–139.
- [DK12] S. Dinew, S. Kołodziej, *Pluripotential estimates on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Advances in geometric analysis, 69-86, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 21, Int. Press, 2012.
- [FPS04] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, *Families of strong KT structures in six dimensions*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004), no. 2, 317–340.
- [FT09] A. Fino and A. Tomassini, *Blow-ups and resolutions of strong Kähler with torsion metrics*, Adv. Math. 221 (2009), no. 3, 914–935.
- [Gaud77] P. Gauduchon, *Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle*, CRAS 285 (1977), no. 5, 387–390.
- [GR70] H. Grauert, O. Riemenschneider, *Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen*. Invent. Math. 11 (1970), 263–292.
- [GL10] B. Guan, Q. Li, *Complex Monge-Ampère equations and totally real submanifolds*. Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 3, 1185–1223.
- [GL21a] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, *Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 1: uniform estimates on Kähler manifolds*, Preprint (2021).
- [GL21b] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, *Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 3: Solving Monge-Ampère equations on hermitian manifolds*, Preprint (2021).
- [GLZ19] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu, A. Zeriahi, *Plurisubharmonic envelopes and supersolutions*, J. Differential Geom. 113 (2019), no. 2, 273–313.
- [GZ05] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 15 (2005), no. 4, 607–639.
- [GZ] V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 26, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017.
- [JY93] J. Jost, S.-T. Yau, *A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry*. Acta Math. 170 (1993), 221–254.
- [KN15] S. Kołodziej, N.C. Nguyen, *Weak solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Contemp. Math. 644 (2015), 141–158.
- [KN19] S. Kołodziej, N.C. Nguyen, *Stability and regularity of solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds*, Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 264–304.
- [Lam99] A. Lamari, *Courants kählériens et surfaces compactes*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 49 (1999), no. 1, vii, x, 263–285.
- [Laz] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*. Ergebnisse der Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+387 pp
- [LPT] C. H. Lu, T.T. Phung, T.D. Tô, *Stability and Hölder regularity of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Preprint arXiv:2003.08417.
- [Ot20] A. Otman, *Special Hermitian metrics on Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds*, arXiv:2009.02599
- [Pop16] D. Popovici, *Sufficient bigness criterion for differences of two nef classes*, Math. Ann. 364 (2016), 649–655.
- [Siu84] Y.-T. Siu, *A vanishing theorem for semipositive line bundles over non-Kähler manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), no. 2, 431–452.
- [Siu85] Y.-T. Siu, *Some recent results in complex manifold theory related to vanishing theorems for the semipositive case*. Workshop Bonn 1984, 169-192, L.N.M., 1111, Springer, 1985.
- [STW17] G. Székelyhidi, V. Tosatti, B. Weinkove, *Gauduchon metrics with prescribed volume form*, Acta Math. 219 (2017), no. 1, 181–211.

- [TW10] V. Tosatti, B. Weinkove, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 4, 1187–1195.
- [Vu19] D.-V. Vu, *Locally pluripolar sets are pluripolar*, Int. J. Math. 30 (2019), no. 13, 1950029.
- [WN19] D. Witt Nyström, *Duality between the pseudoeffective and the movable cone on a projective manifold. Appendix by S. Boucksom*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (2019), no. 3, 675–689.
- [Xiao15] J. Xiao, *Weak transcendental holomorphic Morse inequalities on compact Kähler manifolds*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 65 (2015), no. 3, 1367–1379.
- [Yau78] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), no. 3, 339–411.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31400 TOULOUSE, FRANCE,

Email address: vincent.guedj@math.univ-toulouse.fr

URL: <https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~guedj/>

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, 91405, ORSAY, FRANCE.

Email address: hoang-chinh.lu@universite-paris-saclay.fr

URL: <https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~lu/>