

Nanotechnologies and controlled release formulations for the administration of bisphosphonates and their potential in radiation protection

Geraldine Landon, Guillaume Phan, François Fay, Céline Bouvier-Capely,

Elias Fattal

▶ To cite this version:

Geraldine Landon, Guillaume Phan, François Fay, Céline Bouvier-Capely, Elias Fattal. Nanotechnologies and controlled release formulations for the administration of bisphosphonates and their potential in radiation protection. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology, 2023, 90, pp.105154. 10.1016/j.jddst.2023.105154 . hal-04280424

HAL Id: hal-04280424 https://universite-paris-saclay.hal.science/hal-04280424

Submitted on 11 Nov 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Nanotechnologies and controlled release formulations for the administration of bisphosphonates and their potential in radiation protection

3 4

Geraldine Landon¹, Guillaume Phan¹, François Fay², Céline Bouvier-Capely¹, Elias Fattal^{2*}

5 6

¹ Health Division, Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), Fontenayaux-Roses, France

8 ² Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut Galien Paris-Saclay, 91400 Orsay, France.

9

7

- 10 *Corresponding author
- 11 Elias Fattal, Institut Galien Paris-Saclay, UMR CNRS 8612, Bâtiment Henri Moissan, 670 ; 17,
- 12 Avenue des Sciences, 91400 Orsay, France elias.fattal@universite-paris-saclay.fr



13 Abstract

14 In the event of internal contamination with radionuclides, decorporating treatment is crucial. 15 It must be initiated as soon as possible to limit tissue retention of radiocontaminants and 16 accelerate their excretion. However, the existing therapies for such contaminations often lack 17 effectiveness. The conventional research strategy is based on selecting or synthesizing new 18 chelating agents, a lengthy and costly process. Another approach is drug repurposing, such as 19 molecules belonging to the biphosphonate series. This primary therapeutic class is mainly 20 used to treat bone metabolism disorders. However, for decades, biphosphonates have offered a wide range of applications in research, including macrophage depletion or bone 21 regeneration, but also in more unexpected areas such as skin decontamination and 22 23 decorporation. In addition, controlled drug delivery and nanotechnologies have offered a 24 promising approach for significantly improving the delivery and efficacy of these active 25 substances. They increase their distribution to target organs and bypass issues related to their

- toxicity or poor pharmacokinetic performance. After outlining the pharmaceutical properties
 of biphosphonates, the present review aims to present the central innovative systems for their
 delivery and targeting in the field of radiation protection.
- 29

30 Keywords

Bisphosphonate, internal contamination, radionuclide, nanotechnologies, controlled drug
 delivery, repurposing drug

33 1 Introduction

34 Bisphosphonates (BPs) have been initially used as corrosion inhibitors or complexing agents in the textile or petroleum industries. Etidronate (ethane-1-hydroxy-1,1-35 36 bisphosphonate, EHBP, or 1-Hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonate, HEDP) was the first clinically applied in the 1960s [1]. Other molecules (clodronate, pamidronate, risedronate, 37 38 alendronate, tiludronate, zoledronate, and ibandronate) were then successively available on 39 the market. As bone resorption inhibitors, they were employed as first-line treatment of 40 metabolic bone diseases such as osteoporosis and Paget's disease. Prescription of these drugs 41 has been growing extensively due to population aging, with the global prevalence of 42 osteoporosis estimated at 18.3% [2]. BPs are also widely used in therapy to treat 43 hypercalcemia and as an adjuvant in bone metastasis.

Besides their known therapeutic indications, BPs have been particularly interesting in radioprotection due to their mechanism of action and bone tropism. Since one of the target tissue after radiocontamination is the bone [3, 4], applications have included decontamination and decorporation [5, 6]. These studies demonstrated that BPs could be effective medical countermeasures for the population and workers exposed accidentally or intentionally to radiation.

The mechanism of action of BP molecules relies on their binding to hydroxyapatite (HA) crystals related to their strong affinity for calcium (Ca) [7]. A few conditional stability constants (log K) for Ca-BP complexes are available. For instance, for the CaL²⁻ complex, the values for clodronate, pamidronate, and EHBP are 5.77 ± 0.01 (0.1 M (CH₃)₄NCl, 25°C), 6.13 ± 0.1 (0.1 M KCl, 25°C), and 6.18 ± 0.03 (0.1 M (CH₃)₄N(NO₃), 25°C), respectively [8-10]. Regarding the EHBP-Ca complex, the overall stability constant was determined as log β equal to 20.20 ± 0.06 [11]. Other elements, such as divalent cations like copper (Cu²⁺), zinc (Zn²⁺), or iron (Fe²⁺), have 57 also been investigated for complexation and exhibit comparable affinities to BPs [12]. Recently, a detailed analysis of cobalt (Co²⁺) complexation with EHBP was published and 58 provided several overall stability constants up to 27.11 \pm 0.1 [13]. Trivalent cations (Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, 59 60 Eu³⁺) were also investigated and displayed high values for the overall stability constants (log β 61 > 25) [14, 15]. Moreover, a few studies have reported that BPs have a good affinity with 62 hexavalent cations like uranium [16, 17]. Among all these elements, we can note that the 63 recommended medical treatment for cobalt contamination has minimal effectiveness. The 64 recent observations suggest that clinical indications of BPs could be extended to other radionuclides and increase the therapeutic arsenal in the event of internal contamination. 65

This review aims to update the knowledge of this significant class of drugs. After reviewing the pharmacological properties and mechanism of action of BPs, we will discuss the applications of BPs in the field of radiation protection.

69 2 Bisphosphonates: structure-activity relations

70 Also known as diphosphonates, BPs are a family of synthetic pharmaceutical molecules 71 characterized by two phosphonate groups, PO(OH)₂, in geminal position, *i.e.* linked to the 72 same carbon. BPs have a strong structural analogy with inorganic pyrophosphate, a 73 degradation product of ATP that inhibits calcium phosphate precipitation by binding to HA 74 crystals, which has a P-O-P bond (Fig. 1) [18-20]. However, replacing oxygen with a carbon 75 atom in the center of the P-C-P bridge allows BPs to resist enzymatic degradation and are, 76 therefore, non-hydrolyzable and, consequently, very stable molecules [21]. The differences 77 between each BP are the two residues (R₁ and R₂), allowing the creation of multiple structures 78 with different pharmacological properties.



79



BP molecules available on the market include clodronate, risedronate, ibandronate, pamidronate, alendronate, and zoledronate. EHBP is the reference BP. The chemical structure of these seven compounds is given in Fig. 2. These BPs share the P-C-P bridge and two phosphonate groups essential for bone resorption inhibition and targeting the bone mineral fraction [22]. Indeed, chemical modifications, such as adding carbon in the P-C-P bridge or replacing hydroxyl with methyl in the phosphonate groups, lead to a loss of bone affinity and resorption capacity [21, 23].

88 The short R₁ chain provides BP an affinity for bone tissue, notably via the presence of the hydroxyl group. In contrast, the function and the potential to inhibit bone resorption are 89 90 essentially linked to the R₂ chain [24]. BPs can be classified into two categories according to the presence or absence of a nitrogen atom in the R₂ chain. The first category includes the first 91 92 generation of non-amino BP (EHBP, clodronate, tiludronate) with mono-atomic or hydroxyl groups. The second category consists of a second generation of amino BP with a primary 93 94 amine group in R₂, including pamidronate and alendronate, and a third generation of amino 95 BP, which has a more complex structure and includes a tertiary nitrogen atom (ibandronate) 96 or an aromatic N-heterocycle (risedronate, zoledronate).



112

113 The presence of a pyridine-type heterocycle or the R_2 chain length dramatically influences 114 the antiresorptive potency of the BPs molecules [<u>18</u>, <u>22</u>]. The minimum dose of each BP 115 required to induce osteopetrosis (a hereditary disease characterized by osteoclast dysfunction 116 resulting in a defect in bone resorption) in young rats was determined to assess the potency 117 of the BPs molecules. The doses were then compared to EHBP as a reference [<u>25</u>]. Table 1 118 shows the structural characteristics of BPs and their relative potency [<u>26</u>].

- 119
- 120

Table 1: Chemical structure and relative potency of bisphosphonates

Molecule	R ₁ residue	R ₂ residue	Generation	Potency
Etidronate	ОН	CH ₃		1
Clodronate	Cl	Cl	1 st	10
Tiludronate	Н	4-chlorothiophenyl		10
Pamidronate	ОН	$(CH_2)_2NH_2$	and	100
Alendronate	ОН	(CH ₂) ₃ NH ₂	2	1,000
Risedronate	ОН	Pyridine		5,000
Ibandronate	ОН	(CH ₂) ₂ - N (CH ₂) ₄ - CH ₃	3 rd	10,000
Zoledronate	ОН	Imidazole]	20,000

121

122 **3** Pharmacokinetic properties of BPs

The administration of BPs usually occurs by the oral or intravenous route. Intramuscular and subcutaneous routes of administration are not considered because they induce irritation and tissue damage at the injection site [27, 28]. In humans, the oral absorption of BPs is minimal. The amount absorbed ranges between 2 and 2.5% for non-amino BPs and is about 0.7% for amino BPs [29]. Furthermore, in the presence of food and drink containing calcium and magnesium, the bioavailability of BPs collapses dramatically.

129 Once in the bloodstream, at physiological pH (7.4), BPs are partially ionized in the 130 bloodstream, which explains their ability to bind to proteins present in plasma, mainly 131 albumin. In humans, however, protein binding varies between BPs: for instance, on average, 132 the binding fraction is 32% for zoledronate, 26% for ibandronate, 36% for clodronate [30, 31], 133 and 78% for alendronate [32]. After a plasma half-life of around two hours, BPs disappear 134 from the blood compartment and are retained in organs or undergo urinary excretion [33]. 135 BPs are found throughout the human body in calcified (approximately 50-80%) and non-136 calcified tissues [34].

137 The distribution of BPs within calcified tissues is heterogeneous and complex [35]. Indeed, BPs will preferentially localize in trabecular bones where bone turnover is high. According to 138 Lin's team, the concentrations of radiolabeled alendronate with carbon-14 (¹⁴C) are two to 139 140 three times higher in these areas [36, 37]. In humans, the available data support the above 141 results. According to the work of Carnevale et al., carried out on pre-and post-menopausal 142 women using a technetium-99m-labeled methylene diphosphonate molecule, the distribution 143 is predominantly in areas of high bone remodeling [<u>38</u>]. However, recent work by Roelofs' 144 team suggests a more profound localization of BP. Indeed, 24 hours after administering 145 fluorescent risedronate analogs to 3-month-old mice, the labeled molecule was found in 146 osteocyte lacunae near vascular channels and in monocytes in the bone marrow [39].

The elimination half-life of BPs in skeletal tissue varies according to the molecule considered and depends on factors such as the rate of bone turnover and renal function [<u>40</u>]. In the case of alendronate, it is estimated to be more than ten years in healthy humans [<u>41</u>, <u>42</u>].

The distribution of BPs in non-calcified tissues has not been investigated as much as in skeletal tissues. However, it appears to be relatively homogeneous with a preference for the liver, kidney, and spleen, but their retention decreases rapidly over time. After intravenous (IV) administration of 1 mg.kg⁻¹ of ¹⁴C-alendronate in rats, the retention of the molecule in these tissues ranges from 63% of the dose at 5 min to 5% at 1 h. At the same time, retention in bone tissue increases to a peak at 1 hour after injection [<u>37</u>].

The characteristic of BPs structure based on a P-C-P bridge makes enzymatic hydrolysis difficult. However, in contrast to nitrogen containing bisphosphonate (N-BP), *in vitro* studies in mammalian cells (murine macrophage cells J774 and human osteosarcoma cells MG63) suggest that clodronate and EHBP are metabolized to non-hydrolyzable cytotoxic analogs of ATP identified by chromatographic analysis. No data on the percentage of the dose that would be metabolized is available. In contrast, for alendronate, no metabolites were detected [43], and N-BP was eliminated in an unchanged form [35].

163 The elimination route of BPs is almost exclusively urinary via glomerular filtration [35]. 164 Some BPs are undergoing tubular secretion, as shown by Troehler's team on rodents [44]. The 165 molecules used were EHBP and dichloromethylene diphosphonate labeled with ¹⁴C. According 166 to Ruggiero *et al.*, 50% of the absorbed BPs is excreted unmetabolized in the urine [24]. The 167 removal of BPs is complex as it is dependent on bone turnover. Once integrated into the bone, 168 BPs will only be mobilized during a bone remodeling phase. This slow removal kinetics explains the continuous urinary and blood concentrations over long periods. A minor elimination route
occurs via bile excretion (less than 0.5%) [<u>37</u>].

171 4 Mechanism of action of BPs

The principal therapeutic action of BPs is the inhibition of bone resorption. Bone tissue is, therefore, the main target of this class of drugs. Before detailing the mechanism of action, we will discuss the chelation process essential to this mechanism.

175 **4.1 Chelation**

176 The high affinity of BPs for calcium is necessary to inhibit bone resorption. Indeed, the 177 binding intensity of BPs to calcium present in the mineral fraction of bone by adsorption forms 178 the basis of their mechanism of action [7]. Chromatographic studies suggest that the 179 phosphonate groups and the R₁ and R₂ residues of BPs significantly bind to HA crystals. These 180 chemical differences explain the variability observed between BPs. The results suggest an 181 increasing affinity in the following order: clodronate < EHBP < risedronate < ibandronate 182 < alendronate < zoledronate [45]. More recently, DFT (Density Functional Theory) analyses 183 based on quantum calculations of molecular structures have confirmed these results [46]. 184 They support the stronger affinity to the mineral matrix of BPs with a nitrogen atom, attesting 185 to a more consistent anti-resorption effect [47].

186 To better understand the chelation process, which is essential for the biological action 187 of BPs, the Ca-BP bond has been studied extensively, particularly by Claessens and Van der 188 Linden [8]. Among the ligands (L), EHBP and methylene diphosphonate were studied. 189 According to the authors, the complexes would only exist at pH above 4. The predominant 190 chemical complex at pH 5-7 would be a monoprotonated calcium one (CaHL⁻) with a formation 191 constant log K at 3.12 ± 0.06. Then, by increasing the pH, the unprotonated dicalcium species 192 (Ca₂L) would be predominant with log K about 4.63 \pm 0.06. The studies of Foti support these 193 values [11]. Indeed, for the CaHL⁻ complex, log K and log β (overall stability constant) are 2.87 194 and 14.31 ± 0.05, respectively. For the Ca₂L complex, log K and log β are 4.70 and 11.22 ± 0.06, 195 respectively. Furthermore, it was shown that the bond between the BP and the calcium was 196 bidentate or even tridentate when the R₁ residue contained a hydroxyl group [48].

197 In addition to calcium, the complexation between BP and especially EHBP (the head of 198 BPs series) and other divalent elements has also been investigated, such as Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , 199 nickel (Ni²⁺), cadmium (Cd²⁺), magnesium (Mg²⁺), Co²⁺ and strontium (Sr²⁺) [<u>11-13</u>, <u>49</u>].

Complex formation with trivalent cations was also studied, especially with aluminium (Al³⁺), 200 chromium (Cr³⁺) and europium (Eu³⁺) [14, 15]. The stability constants of these cations with 201 EHBP are listed in Table 2. 202

203	Tabl	e 2: Complex	ation constan	ts for MH ₂ L com
204			log K	logβ
205			0.1 M K	Cl (20°C) ^a
206		Co ²⁺	ND	8.52 ± 0.04
207				ባ _ግ (ንር°ር) ^{b,c,d}
208		C r ²⁺		
200		<u> </u>	2.52	
209		Cu ²⁺	3.0 + 0.4	20.1 + 0.5
210		7n ²⁺	38+03	20.2 + 0.5
211			4 8 + 0 1	20.3 + 0.2
212		Cd ²⁺	4.5 ± 0.2	20.7 ± 0.3
213		Fe ²⁺	3.3 ± 0.3	21.0 ± 0.4
214		Cr ³⁺	4.0 ± 0.1	28.9 ± 0.1
215		Al ³⁺	1.8 ± 0.3	29.1 ± 0.3
216			0.1 M N	aCl (25°C) ^e
217		Mg ²⁺	1.54	19.91 ± 0.2
218		Ca ²⁺	1.83	20.20 ± 0.06
219			0	. n af
220		E 113+	<u> </u>	101
221		M is metal ar	nd L is ligand (EHI	3P), ND means
221		not determin	ed.	f[4 4]
222		°[13], °[49], ۲	[12], "[15], "[11],	'[14].
223				

Comployatio fax N/111 plex

224 All the elements examined form stable complexes with EHBP molecules, and the results are pretty similar. Concerning Cu^{2+} , the complex M_2L_2 is predominant in a strongly 225 alkaline solution. Log β was determined at 30.42 in 0.3 M NaOH solution at 25°c [50]. 226

227 Differences can be observed and are function, among other things, of the ligand coordination 228 sites, the charge of the complex, or the steric hindrance generated [49]. The use of BPs has 229 also been considered for the decorporation of actinides such as uranium (U). A conditional constant for the complex UO_2^{2+} -HEDP (1:1 complex stoichiometry) was determined at 230

7.7 ± 0.3 by using a 0.1 M sodium perchlorate solution (at 20°c), and the stability constant was
established at 19.1 [16].

In addition to their anti-bone resorption property, BPs are used for imaging through bone scintigraphy. They can be coupled to radiotracers, which are beta/gamma emitters such as ^{99m}Tc, ¹⁵³Sm, ¹⁸⁶Re, ¹⁸⁸Re, depending on the purpose for diagnostic purposes. The radiotracers can be coupled due to the chelation property of BPs towards these radionuclides [51, 52]. In summary, the powerful capacity of BPs, especially EHBP, to complex such cations is well documented and thus paving the way potentially for other elements.

239 **4.2 Tissue level**

240 At the tissue level, all BPs derivatives have a similar action. They bind preferentially to 241 HA crystals, replacing inorganic pyrophosphate and inhibiting their degradation, thus 242 preventing bone resorption. Employing a histomorphometric evaluation which allows a 243 qualitative and quantitative analysis of the bone structure, it appears that in ovariectomized baboons treated with alendronate (0.05 or 0.25 mg.kg⁻¹ by IV every 2 weeks for 2 years), there 244 245 is a decrease in resorption gaps and then in a second phase (4 to 6 months after the start of 246 treatment), an overall reduction in bone formation without any impact on osteoblast activity 247 [53]. Consequently, a decrease in bone fragility and an increase in bone mass occur. 248 Furthermore, as the newly formed bone is less prone to resorption, the mineralization phase 249 is more complete, as it has been observed in women with post-menopausal osteoporosis treated with alendronate (5 to 10 mg.day⁻¹ for 3 years or 20 mg.day⁻¹ for 2 years followed by 250 251 5 mg for 1 year) [54]. From a densitometric point of view, the decrease in resorption is more 252 significant than that of bone formation, resulting in a positive calcium balance.

253 4.3 Cellular level

254 Since the pharmacological action of BPs is an anti-bone resorption effect, their preferred 255 target is the osteoclast cell. Different results with a direct or indirect action on the target have 256 been reported [55]. Depending on the model used, experimental results regarding the effect 257 of BPs on the osteoclasts provide additional information. Indeed, in vitro studies using 258 osteoclast-like cells and mouse bone marrow have shown osteoclastogenesis inhibition after 259 alendronate treatment [56]. On the other hand, in vivo studies in rats have shown an increase 260 in non-active osteoclasts and then, after chronic administration of BP (EHBP), a decrease in 261 their number [57]. Several studies, especially those carried out in mice, have demonstrated

the penetration of certain radiolabeled BPs, such as tiludronate, into osteoclasts and the 262 263 dysfunctions caused, including modification of the actin structure [58]. The team of Hughes et 264 al. revealed, through in vitro and in vivo studies in mice, that treatment with different BPs 265 (risedronate, pamidronate, and clodronate) promoted the apoptotic induction of osteoclasts 266 [59]. In the presence of BPs, mouse osteoblasts in marrow cultures would indirectly inhibit 267 osteoclast recruitment (the last step in osteoclastogenesis) or their activity via inhibitory 268 factors present in the culture medium [60]. Concentrations as low as 10⁻¹¹ mol.L⁻¹ and an 269 exposure time of 5 min would be sufficient to cause this effect [22]. However, the exact 270 mechanism of action has not been elucidated. Finally, at the cellular level, the mode of action 271 of BPs will differ according to their chemical structure [61]. They can block osteoclastogenesis 272 by inhibiting precursor osteoclast proliferation and recruitment, promoting osteoclast 273 apoptosis, and inhibiting osteoclast activity [55].

274 4.4 Molecular level

The molecular mechanism of action of BPs has been elucidated in the late 1990s. During bone resorption, when the environment becomes acidic through the H^+/Cl^- transporter at the osteoclast membrane, the BPs will be protonated, making the binding with calcium less favorable, thus allowing their internalization by an endocytosis mechanism [62-64].

279 BPs will not have the same molecular target depending on their chemical structure. Indeed, 280 once internalized within osteoclasts, non-nitrogenous first-generation BPs will be integrated 281 into the ATP molecules pool. The newly formed non-hydrolyzable ATP cytotoxic compounds 282 will accumulate intracellularly, inhibiting essential ATP-dependent functions of the osteoclasts 283 (disruption of the mitochondrial machinery and cell death), leading to their apoptosis [65]. 284 The principal mechanism of action of nitrogenous BPs (second and third generations) is quite 285 different. After entering the cell, BPs will play a key role in inhibiting mevalonate/cholesterol 286 cycle enzymes (Fig. 3, [66]) and particularly farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPP-synthase) 287 [<u>67, 68</u>].



299 By interfering with FPP-synthase, the prenylation (*i.e.*, the addition of an isoprenoid lipid farnesyl at C15 or geranylgeranyl at C20, via a thioether linkage to proteins at or near the 300 301 C-terminal amino acid cysteine position) of numerous small proteins such as Ras, Rho, Rac will 302 no longer take place. Rho/Rac proteins constitute a subgroup from the Ras superfamily of 303 small GTPases. The biological functions of these proteins that regulate cellular processes 304 essential to osteoclasts, such as the organization of the cytoskeleton, the modification of the 305 cell membrane, or the transduction of the cellular signal, will no longer be ensured and will 306 then lead to the loss of the anti-resorption activity of osteoclasts and their apoptosis.

The class of BPs could also have a beneficial role on osteoblastic cells by having an antiapoptotic action. Indeed, all BPs activate a kinase enzyme called Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinase (ERK). By increasing the phosphorylation of ERK enzymes, BPs would thus prevent the apoptosis of osteoblasts [<u>69</u>].

311 Due to their bone tropism and role as inhibitors of bone resorption, BP molecules are 312 mainly used in treating bone pathologies, including osteoporosis and Paget's disease [24, 70]. 313 In addition, they are prescribed for preventing bone complications in adult patients with 314 advanced malignant disease with bone involvement and treating tumor-induced 315 hypercalcemia [71, 72]. BPs are also used in nuclear medicine for diagnostic, metabolic 316 imaging, or therapeutic purposes [73, 74]. Finally, BPs seem to have a potential antitumoral 317 effect, especially by inhibiting tumor migration and angiogenesis, inducing tumor cell apoptosis, or impairing tumor cell adhesion to bone [64]. 318

319 Generally, BPs are well tolerated if the recommendations for usage are respected (to 320 take the treatment on an empty stomach, to remain in a sitting or standing position, and to 321 respect a 30-minute delay before taking a meal). However, some patients experience 322 potentially significant side effects that can cause great discomfort. Among the side effects 323 noted are gastrointestinal symptoms (such as esophagitis, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), kidney 324 disorders, inflammation of certain membranes of the eye (such as uveitis, scleritis), metabolic 325 troubles (hypocalcemia) [75-78]. Effects on the skeleton are also to be listed, such as atypical 326 femoral fracture and osteonecrosis of the jaw [79-81]. Finally, minor cutaneous effects 327 (eczema, urticaria, or Stevens-Johnson syndrome, which is more serious) and controversial 328 effects (including atrial fibrillation and bone pain) have been described [82, 83].

Due to significant strengths (bone tropism, inhibitor of bone resorption, stable complex with various divalent cations), BPs, widely studied in research, have interested researchers in a more unexpected field: radiation protection. In this latter case, adverse effects seen in chronic treatments can be excluded since it becomes an emergency treatment.

333

5 Dosage forms and route of administration for the delivery of BPs

335 5.1 Nanotechnologies for targeted delivery

336 Among the formulations considered for the delivery of BPs are liposomes. They are small biodegradable vesicles composed of a phospholipid bilayer delimiting an aqueous 337 338 compartment. This form allows the encapsulation of hydrophilic molecules in its core and 339 lipophilic molecules within the bilayer. In oncology, clodronate liposomes are widely used to 340 study the role of macrophages by inducing their apoptosis [84]. In addition to conventional 341 liposomes, second-generation liposomes called stealth liposomes have also been applied to 342 the delivery of BPs. They differ from the former by the addition, of hydrophilic polymers such 343 as polyethylene glycol (PEG), allowing them to avoid opsonization (the process by which 344 opsonins adsorb to the surface and promote phagocytosis) and thus to circulate longer in the 345 body. These pegylated alendronate liposomes have been tested in mice to investigate the 346 potential anti-tumor role of BPs [85]. In cancer-related research, pegylated nanoparticles of 347 BP calcium salts were also tested in a model of mice with 4T1 tumors [86]. The results showed 348 that M2 macrophages are depleted in large quantities and a drastic decrease in interleukin-10 349 secreted by M2 macrophages. This formulation has been responsible for a tumor350 microenvironment modification that allows for better radiotherapy efficacy.

BPs are also used as ligands to target bone in different diseases. One study describes micelles loaded with curcumin (potential anti-tumor effect) and functionalized with alendronate conjugated to a polymer (hyaluronan-octadecanoic acid) [87]. After injections every 2 days for 20 days in a mouse model with osteosarcoma, the results showed that the formulation of curcumin-loaded micelles in the presence of alendronate demonstrated a high affinity for HA and delayed tumor growth compared to the free molecule (p<0.05).

The IV route, one of the routes of BP administration in the clinic, allows for the distribution of various attractive targeting forms. Although it is invasive and requires a medical procedure, it will enable rapid delivery of the drug, in its totality, into the vascular compartment.

361 **5.2** Dosage forms to improve BPs bioavailability.

362 5.2.1 Oral route

363 The oral route is one of the routes of administration of BPs in clinical practice. The main 364 objective is thus to enhance the gastrointestinal absorption rate of the molecules. The use of 365 ibandronate-based gastro-resistant reservoirs has been studied in rodents [88]. This 366 formulation is composed of nanoparticles with citrus pectin as the raft former, calcium 367 carbonate to enhance the raft strength, sodium bicarbonate as an effervescent mixture and 368 PEG as the permeability enhancer. Pharmacokinetics studies showed a 2-fold increase in 369 bioavailability of the gastro-resistant reservoir compared to the reference formulation 370 (calculated area under the curve (AUC) of 6899 ± 3.5 ng/ml.h and 3708 ± 3.4 ng/ml.h). The 371 resistance to stomach acidic pH was also explored in rabbits with gastro-resistant liposomes 372 of alendronate [89]. Bioavailability was increased by 12 between the gastro-resistant 373 formulation and the tablet form. Since 2012, alendronate is commercially available in Japan 374 in a weekly gel form [90]. This interesting dosage form was shown to be more suitable for 375 aging populations. It has the advantage of not requiring water and is easier to swallow. 376 Although no difference was found with the tablet form, the results are significantly more 377 favorable for oral-related adverse events (heartburn and epigastralgia). The effervescent 378 solution of alendronate (taken weekly) has also been clinically assessed compared to the 379 tablet form [91]. The results indicated a better follow-up of the treatment and a better

tolerance with the effervescent solution due to the dissolution of alendronate in a bufferedappetent solution, limiting the risk of gastrointestinal irritation.

382 5.2.2 Pulmonary route

383 BP delivery through the pulmonary route was poorly studied. A dried powder 384 formulation was proposed based on alendronate, leucine, and ammonium bicarbonate [92]. 385 Administration of the formulation (with particle size less than 12 μ m) was performed by the 386 intra-tracheal route. The bioavailability of alendronate using this formulation $(6.23 \pm 0.83\%)$ 387 was increased by a factor of 3.5 compared to the oral route. Another study focused on 388 risedronate sodium which was formulated into poly(lactide-co-glycolic acid) microspheres 389 (with particle size mean of $3.68 \pm 0.69 \mu$ m) to test the possibility for risedronate to be 390 delivered through an alternative route instead of oral administration [93]. In vivo results 391 showed high bone deposition compared to controls. The pulmonary route has many 392 advantages: a large surface area of absorption, close vascularization (subject to a small 393 aerodynamic diameter), no hepatic first-pass effect, and few notable adverse effects, unlike 394 the oral route.

395 5.2.3 Transdermal route

396 A microemulsion (water/oil) formulation of alendronate has been tested in rat model [94]. At an equivalent dose (30 mg.kg⁻¹), statistically significant differences were reported 397 398 between the oral and transdermal routes. The bioavailability of the microemulsion is twice 399 greater than the solution. Another dosage form using the transdermal route was examined. It 400 is a protransfersome gel loaded with risedronate [95]. Unlike liposomes, which have limited 401 elastic properties and will primarily accumulate on the skin surface, protransfersomes are 402 ultra-flexible lipid vesicles that can deform by absorbing water from the skin. Skin penetration 403 is then increased without any sign of toxicity. Compared to the oral form, the results showed 404 a statistically significant improvement in bone architecture and a strengthening of trabecular 405 bone connectivity. Through these two examples, bioavailability was improved owing to the 406 dosage form. The transdermal route displays real advantages such as no first hepatic passage, 407 easy administration, the comfort of application, rapid withdrawal if necessary, and an absence 408 of adverse effects related to oral administration.

410 **6 BPs application in research in the field of radiation protection**

As previously mentioned, BPs are a historical class of drugs and have been the subject of 411 412 research for many years due to their attractive (tissue of interest and pharmacological 413 property) and promising (affinity and different speciation with divalent cations) 414 characteristics. The therapeutic molecules recommended in case of internal contamination by 415 radionuclides are few and not entirely adequate. Using BPs as a decorporation treatment is a 416 judicious strategy because, in the case of uranium, the retention organs include the kidneys 417 and bone tissue [4]. Unlike other therapeutics, a decorporating agent must meet specific 418 essential criteria [96]: (i) to be non-toxic; (ii) to have high affinity for the molecule of interest; 419 (iii) to form stable M-L complexes; (iv) to form soluble and urinary excretable M-L complexes 420 (v) to be orally administrable. Designing a decorporation treatment based on BP molecule 421 could be hazardous due to the low gastrointestinal absorption. However, relying on new 422 technologies and considering an alternative route of administration is a challenging but 423 promising approach.

424 6.1 Skin decontamination

425 Research applications using BP molecules have included skin decontamination after 426 exposure to actinides, particularly to uranium. Modes of exposure are either poorly soluble 427 form (U(IV)) or soluble form (U(VI)), which is the most stable form in biological and 428 environmental media [97, 98]. One of the first studies worth mentioning is the one from 429 Houpert et al. dealing with early skin decontamination after exposure to industrial U 430 compounds [6]. The treatment uses a paste or a dressing based on hydrocolloid and 431 carboxymethylcellulose (with high absorbency) associated or not with potential chelating 432 agents such as EHBP. The authors conducted ex vivo studies on bovine muscle and in vivo 433 experiments carried out on two different models in rats. One with intermuscular U deposition 434 to mimic a blunt object injury and the other with IM U deposition to mimic a sharp object 435 injury. The results are reported in Table 3. Adding the BP molecules did not improve treatment 436 efficacy in all these experiments. The reason is probably due to the insoluble character of the 437 uranium oxides being unfavorable to chemical chelation.

In a second study, BP molecules incorporated into hydrogels were also considered for U
decontamination [<u>99</u>]. Different types of hydrogels were formulated: pamidronate-based
hydrogel (I), diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA)-based hydrogel (II), pamidronate-

based polymeric hydrogel (III) and hydrogel in which BP molecule is linked to the motif of naphthalene phenylalanine-phenylalanine (NapFF) or NapFFP hydrogel (IV). For *in vivo* studies conducted on mice, a 1*1 cm² wound was created using a razor blade on the back of the animals. Different parameters were monitored, such as survival rate at 10 days, change in body weight over 10 days, and the amount of U in the organs, particularly the kidneys (target organ) (Table 3). All 4 treatments demonstrated significant efficacy compared to controls, but the pamidronate-based hydrogel (I) yielded better results.

448 The hydrogel-based treatment offers attractive results and combines interesting 449 properties (absorptivity, stability, biocompatibility, biodegradability). However, the 450 improvement of the effectiveness of these treatments by adding chelators to the formulas has 451 not been demonstrated. Furthermore, the results obtained after using the dressing and paste 452 forms are promising regarding reducing U in the kidneys. However, the results highlight the 453 lack of efficacy of chelating agents within these dosage forms since they did not further retain 454 the radionuclide. Nonetheless, in contrast to a simple EHBP solution, the dosage forms 455 proposed (paste, dressing, hydrogel) are easier to use, ensure that the active molecule 456 remains at the site of administration, and control the release of the drug by providing the 457 carrier with a remanent effect. Effective emergency treatment after skin contamination 458 appears essential to prevent the penetration of U in the body as much as possible and thus 459 avoid long-term retention.

	CO	NTAMINA	TION	TREATMENT						R.		D'I-I'	
Model	U compound	Route	Single or repeated	Time before treatment	Molecule Galenic form		Route Single or repeated		Duration	Ligand / RN	RESULTS	Bibliogra phical references	
Bovine	UO₂, UO₄	Depo- sition on incisions	Single	5 min	Carboxymethyl- cellulose-based hydrocolloids associated or not with EHBP	Paste	Application	15 min (only	90 (UO ₂) 189	U retention in the galenic form: 15 min: 9% (6% with EHBP) 1h: 75-84% (no increase with EHBP)			
muscle (or U ₃ O ₈					Dressing	on incisions	Single	for UO ₄) or 1 h	(UO ₄) 602 (U ₃ O ₈)	U retention in the galenic form: 15 min: 48% (33% with EHBP) 1h: 89-97% (no increase with EHBP)	[6]	
Rat	UO4	Inter muscular deposition IO ₄ IM deposition	Inter muscular Single leposition IM leposition Single	gle 2 min gle	Carboxymethyl- cellulose-based hydrocolloids associated (paste) or not with EHBP (paste/dressing) / Dressing	Dressing or paste	Application on the inter or intra	Single	1 h	28	Tissue retention of U at T0 + 1 h: Dressing: ↘ 63% (wound site), ↘ 68% (kidneys) Paste: ↘ 39% (wound site), ↘ 65% (kidneys). Paste + EHBP: ↘ 35% (wound site), ↘ 70% (kidneys)	[6]	
						- p					U retention in the galenic form at T0 + 1 h: 63% (dressing), 40% (paste). No difference with EHBP with paste.		
						pocket		15 min or 1 h	/	U retention (regardless the treatment duration) at T0 + 1 day: 고 41% (kidneys), 고 40% (femur), 고 38% (carcass)			
											U retention in the dressing at T0 + 1 day: 28% (after 15 min), 30% (after 1 h).		
Mice	UO2 (NO3)2	3 drops on the wound	Single	15 min	Pamidronate-based hydrogel (I), DTPA-based hydrogel (II), Pamidronate-based polymeric hydrogel (III), NapFFP hydrogel (BP molecule linked to a	Hydrogel	Application on the wound site (0.125 cm ³)	Repeated (3 times)	3 min	NA	Survival rate at T0 + 10 days: 92% (I), 100% (II), 83% (III), and 58% (IV), 42% (control) Body weight during 10 days: Significantly gain for all treated groups (from day 5 to day 10 for I; at day 10 for II, III and IV) vs control group.	[99]	
						naphthalene phenylalanine unit) (IV)						U quantity in kidneys: >> 93% (II), >> 97% (III), >> 89% (IV) < DL for hydrogel (I)	

Table 3: Treatments for the decontamination of uranium using BPs

U, uranium; RN, radionuclide; BP, bisphosphonate; NA, not available ; RLigand/RN means molar ratio ligand to radionuclide.

462 6.2 Decorporation

463 In the nuclear industry or following a nuclear incident/accident, the risk of internal 464 contamination by inhalation, ingestion, or skin injury is real. It requires appropriate medical 465 countermeasures using a decorporating agent. It removes radioactive elements from the body 466 using a chelating agent or administering another pharmaceutical agent [100]. For some 467 radionuclides (cesium-137 or iodine-131), treatments exist. However, for U, the proposed 468 treatment is based on sodium bicarbonate, a non-specific molecule that lacks effectiveness. 469 Until recently, various publications outlined the treatment strategies envisaged for the 470 decorporation of this actinide [101-106]. Among the ligand families listed are 471 polyaminocarboxylic acids, siderophores and bisphosphonates.

472 Some research groups are considering BP as a potential chelator of U. Wang et al. developed 473 a conjugate of dopamine and BP that binds to iron oxide with a high partitioning constant at 474 pH 7 [107]. Another team has synthesized different ligands formed by several BP compounds 475 (EHBP) anchored on a calix[4] arene structure [98], including one with conditional stability constants with the uranyl ion UO_2^{2+} greater than 14 (at pH values ranging from 5.5 to 9.0 and 476 477 hypothesizing a 1:1 ligand/uranyl complex formation), which is favorable to strong ligand-478 radionuclide complexations. Furthermore, the affinity of EHBP with U has also been 479 demonstrated in rat serum using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) [108]. These in vitro 480 data are promising clues for considering the application of this formulation to in vivo 481 experiments.

482 Studies with EHBP have also been conducted in the rodent model. The results are listed 483 in Table 4. Firstly, decorporation studies were considered after IM radiocontamination. Wistar 484 rats received an IP injection with EHBP 1 h after being contaminated by IM injection of uranyl 485 nitrate [109]. The treatment was pursued for 28 days, with one injection per day. The 486 administration of EHBP resulted in a significant decrease in U concentrations between 40 and 487 50% in organs (kidney, liver, and femur) compared to controls. These encouraging data 488 concerning the reduction of U retention in the kidneys had also been observed by Henge-489 Napoli's team, who carried out a study in the rodent model [5]. The treatment used was EHBP. 490 Henge-Napoli et al. considered several protocols depending on the time elapsed between 491 contamination and treatment (immediate, 5, or 30 min), the route (IM, IP), or the type of 492 administration (single or repeated). The significant results were similar regardless of the ratio 493 ligand/RN or route of administration. Indeed, during early administration of EHBP, the retention of U in the kidneys and the whole body declined by around 80% and 30% at 494 495 T0 + 24 h, respectively, compared to controls. After a delayed treatment (30 min), U retention decreased by 45% in kidneys compared to controls. After repeated treatment, at T0 + 4 days, 496 497 EHBP reduced the U retention in the 72% kidneys by and 498

	CONT	MINATIO	ON			TREAT	VENT					Biblio-					
Model	U compound	Route	Single or repeated	Time before treatment	Molecule	Galenic form	Route	Single or repeated	Duration	R _{Ligand/}	Results	graphical references					
Rat	UO2(NO3)2	IM	Single	1 h	EHBP	Solution	IP	Repeated (1/day)	28 days	8	U retention at T 28 days ン ~ 50% (kidneys), ン ~ 40% (liver), ン ~ 43% (femur) Survival rate at T 28 days 20% (control group), 50% (treated group)	[109]					
				5 min		Solution	INA	Singlo		5000	After early administration, U retention (at T 24 h):						
				30 min	min	501011011		Single		3000	IM injection: V 76% (kidneys), V 30% (whole-body) IP injection: V 83% (kidneys), V 32% (whole-body)						
Rat	²³³ UO (NO)	IM	Single	At the same time	ЕНВР		ID	Single			After delayed administration, U retention (at T 24 h):	[5]					
	2 2 2 3 2		8	30 min		Solution	IF	Single		2500	IM injection: ↘ 45% (kidneys) IP injection: ↘ 45% (kidneys)	[0]					
				At the same time			IP	Repeated (1/day)	3 days		U retention at T 4 days: 凶 72% (kidneys), 凶 23% (whole-body)						
Rat	²³⁸ UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂	IP	Single	At the same time	EHBP	Solution	IP	Single		7.5	For animals exposed and treated: bone healing did not differ from the non-exposed control group.	[110]					
Rat	²³⁸ UO2(NO3)2	IP	Single	At the same time	ЕНВР	Solution	IP	Single		8	For animals exposed and treated: - Survival rate: 100% over 60-day period - Body weight: no difference with control groups not exposed (untreated or treated with EHBP) at T 8, 30, and 60 days - Kidney tissue: no difference with control groups not exposed (untreated or treated with EHBP) on the 60 th day	[111]					
Rat	²³⁸ UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ . 6H ₂ O	IP	Single	At the same time, 24 h or 48 h	EHBP	Solution	IP or SC	Single		10	Survival rate on the 60 th day of the experiment: 100% with EHBP (IP or SC) given immediately or 24 h after exposure (IP) Biometric parameters of the mandibles 60 days after the beginning of the experiment: better result with EHBP administered immediately by SC route	[112]					
Rat	²³³ U	IP	Single	5 min	BP ligands or EHBP alone	Solution	IP	Single		100	For 5 most promising ligands at T 5 days: U retention: ↘ 53-58% (kidneys) for ligands n°3C, 5C and 7B, ↘ 18-30% (bone) for ligands n°5C, 6A and 7B U excretion: ↗ 31% for ligand n°3C only For EHBP alone, at T 5 days: ↘ 31% (kidneys), ↗ 23% urinary excretion	[17]					
Mice	UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ . 6H ₂ O											Oral			2 to 4	For oral route (R _{L/RN} = 3) and SC route (R _{L/RN} = 0.3):	
		UO ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ . 6H ₂ O	Oral	Single	20 min	EHBP	Solution	SC	Single		0.3	 Urea and creatinine serum levels similar to control group at T 14 days Kidney lesions less severe at T 48 h and signs of tissue recovery at T 14 days Chelating effect of EHBP may prevent U from reaching acquiescent cells in the growth cartilage, allow normal formation of bone trabeculae. 	[113 – 115]				

501 in the whole body by 23%. Under favorable conditions, EHBP has been shown to be 502 significantly more effective if administered earlier.

503 Decorporation studies have also been performed after radiocontamination with IP 504 injection. Research was carried out on an alveolar bone healing model to study bone 505 formation inhibition following uranium exposure [110]. After dental extraction, the rats were 506 exposed to U and, at the same time, received a solution of EHBP at a ratio of 7.5 by the IP 507 route. After an observation period of 14 days, unlike the exposed group in which bone healing 508 did not occur, the exposed and treated group showed results similar to those of the control 509 group. In another study, the researchers focused on renal function, another target of U [111]. 510 A solution of EHBP was administered without delay to rats by IP at a molar ratio ($R_{L/RN}$) of 10. 511 At the end of the 60-day experiment, the exposed and treated animals showed a 100% survival 512 rate, body weight, and histological analysis of the kidneys similar to the control groups [111]. 513 The Ubios team also confirmed a 100% survival rate after late treatment administration 514 (24 hours after contamination) and by SC route without delay after exposure to U [112]. The 515 results also highlighted that a single SC injection of EHBP immediately after contamination 516 was more effective in preventing undesirable changes in mandibular growth (compared with 517 IP). Other chemical entities have been proposed, dipodal or tripodal analogs bearing BP 518 functions [17]. Almost all of these compounds showed high complexation constants (between 10¹⁵ and 10^{19.5}) at pH 7.4 but also at pH 5.5 (pH of the kidney medium). An *in vivo* study was 519 520 performed with the 5 most promising ligands (Table 4). At T0 + 5 days, for 3 ligands (n°3C, 5C, 521 and 7B), U retention decreased by around 55% in kidneys, and for 3 ligands (n°5C, 6A, and 7B) 522 18-30% in bone, but only one ligand (dipod n°3C) showed a significant increase of 31% in U 523 excretion compared to controls. For EHBP alone, at T0 + 5 days, urinary excretion of the 524 radionuclide was increased by 10% compared to controls.

525 Finally, uranium decorporation has also been studied after oral exposure, a potential 526 route of intake for the radionuclide. A solution of EHBP was administered 20 min after 527 contamination by the oral route ($R_{L/RN}$ between 2 and 4) or by the SC route ($R_{L/RN}$ of 0.3) [113]. 528 A survival rate of 50% for at least 14 days post-contamination was obtained after 529 administration of BP by the oral route (at an R_{L/RN} of 3) and by the SC route. The studies were 530 pursued using the latter experimental conditions. In these groups of animals, the kidney 531 lesions observed at 48 h were less severe than in the untreated exposed group and showed 532 signs of healing at 14 days [114]. Finally, under these contamination/treatment conditions,

Bozal *et al* showed that EHBP allowed to improve the adverse effects regarding the endothelial
ossification [115].

535 As mentioned above, the free form of EHBP has shown interesting efficacy in terms of 536 clinical parameters (survival rate, body weight), histology, tissue retention of uranium, and 537 excretion. However, using targeting systems such as colloidal nanoparticles could significantly 538 improve the efficacy of these pharmaceutical molecules. Indeed, these dosage forms 539 undoubtedly provide them with the following benefits: (i) to protect them from the 540 environment by reducing the potential enzymatic degradation, (ii) to avoid the premature 541 binding with endogenous compounds, (iii) to improve the ligand distribution and target tissues 542 [116]. Combining free and encapsulated forms would ultimately act on circulating uranium 543 and prevent U retention in the organs of interest.

544

545 **7** Conclusion and perspectives

As discussed in this review, BPs represent a historical and significant class of drugs in the pharmaceutical field. Due to their macrophage inhibitory properties and their distribution in bone tissue, BPs have opened several avenues of research, and various future applications are proposed. The results of studies using BP molecules are attractive due to innovative dosage forms that improve their bioavailability or allow the targeting of the proper tissue. Drug delivery sciences appear essential in designing new treatments by allowing better delivery of BPs, limiting collateral effects, and ultimately allowing a benefit for the patient.

553 Among the applications mentioned, those related to skin decontamination and decorporation 554 are promising, as evidenced by uranium studies. In addition, the global formation constants 555 from the literature are attractive for both U and other divalent cations. For radionuclides such 556 as radium, cobalt, or strontium, the efficacy of the therapy recommended by nuclear safety 557 authorities worldwide is unsatisfactory, and the set-up of efficient medical countermeasures 558 is essential for the population (civilian, responders, and nuclear workers). Thus, it appears 559 worthwhile to continue the research with EHBP. Moving forward to nanotechnologies in the 560 field of pharmacology must be considered for further investigations to maximize the efficiency 561 of EHBP by focusing its action to decorporate the radiocontaminant.

562 Through this review, potential cases of drug repurposing are numerous. Even though drug 563 repositioning remains a minority path compared to traditional research, this is a valuable

564	strategy that gives us early access to strong knowledge, particularly regarding toxicological
565	and pharmacokinetic aspects. In addition to this precious time saving, there is a financial gain
566	by avoiding lengthy and costly screening processes. Although there are many challenges to
567	overcome, because of the abundant literature on the subject, drug repurposing of BPs is
568	becoming feasible.
569	
570 571 572	8 Declaration of interest The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
573 574	9 Funding This research received no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or
575	not-for-profit sectors.
576	
577 578	10 References
579	[1] Bassett, C.A., A. Donath, F. Macagno, R. Preisig, H. Fleisch, and M.D. Francis,
580	Diphosphonates in the treatment of myositis ossificans, The Lancet 2(7625) (1969) 845,
581	https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(69)92293-4.
582	[2] Salari, N., N. Darvishi, Y. Bartina, M. Larti, A. Kiaei, M. Hemmati, S. Shohaimi, and M.
583	Mohammadi, Global prevalence of osteoporosis among the world older adults: a
584	comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis, Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and
585	Research 16(1) (2021), https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-021-02821-8.
586	[3] Pors Nielsen, S., The biological role of strontium, Bone 35(3) (2004) 583-588,
587	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2004.04.026.
588	[4] UNSCEAR, Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, United Nations Scientific
589	Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) 2016 Report, Annex D - Biological
590	Effects of Selected Internal Emitters - Uranium
591	2017: New York. p. 147 pages.
592	[5] Henge-Napoli, M.H., E. Ansoborlo, V. Chazel, P. Houpert, F. Paquet, and P. Gourmelon,
593	Efficacy of ethane-1-hydroxy-1,1-bisphosphonate (EHBP) for the decorporation of uranium
594	after intramuscular contamination in rats, International Journal of Radiation Biology 75(11)
595	(1999) 1473-1477, https://doi.org/10.1080/095530099139331.

- [6] Houpert, P., V. Chazel, and F. Paquet, A local approach to reduce industrial uranium wound
 contamination in rats, Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 82(2) (2004) 7378, https://doi.org/10.1139/y03-113.
- [7] Gałęzowska, J., Interactions between Clinically Used Bisphosphonates and Bone Mineral:
 from Coordination Chemistry to Biomedical Applications and Beyond, ChemMedChem
 13(4) (2018) 289-302, https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201700769.
- [8] Claessens, R.A.M.J. and J.G.M. van der Linden, Stability constants of tin(II) and calcium
 diphosphonate complexes, Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 21(1) (1984) 73-82,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-0134(84)85040-0.
- [9] Kaila, L., L.H.J. Lajunen, E.N. Rizkalla, and J. Eloranta, Thermodynamics of complexation of
 magnesium and calcium ions with dichloromethylenediphosphonate, Talanta 40(7) (1993)
 999-1003, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-9140(93)80157-M.
- [10] Le Cong, H., A.P. Boichenko, I.V. Levin, A.G. Matveeva, and L.P. Loginova, Complexation
 of Ca2+ and Mg2+ with aminopropylidenebisphosphonic acids in aqueous and micellar
 solutions of cetylpyridinium chloride, Journal of Molecular Liquids 154(2) (2010) 76-81,
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2010.03.014.
- [11] Foti, C., O. Giuffrè, and S. Sammartano, Thermodynamics of HEDPA protonation in
 different media and complex formation with Mg2+ and Ca2+, Journal of Chemical
 Thermodynamics 66 (2013) 151-160, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2013.07.005.
- 615 [12] Deluchat, V., J.C. Bollinger, B. Serpaud, and C. Caullet, Divalent cations speciation with
- 616 three phosphonate ligands in the pH-range of natural waters, Talanta 44(5) (1997) 897-907,
 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0039-9140(96)02136-4.
- [13] Scheglova, N.V., T.V. Popova, A.V. Druzhinina, and T.V. Smotrina, Spectrophotometric
 study of complexation of cobalt (II) with HEDP in aqueous solutions, Journal of Molecular
 Liquids 286 (2019), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.110909.
- 621 [14] Heller, A., C. Senwitz, H. Foerstendorf, S. Tsushima, L. Holtmann, B. Drobot, and J.
- 622 Kretzschmar, Europium(III) Meets Etidronic Acid (HEDP): A Coordination Study Combining
- 623 Spectroscopic, Spectrometric, and Quantum Chemical Methods, Molecules 28(11) (2023),
- 624 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28114469.
- 625 [15] Lacour, S., V. Deluchat, and J.C. Bollinger, Complexation of trivalent cations (Al(III), Cr(III),
- Fe(III)) with two phosphonic acids in the pH range of fresh waters, Talanta 46(5) (1998) 999-
- 627 1009, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0039-9140(97)00369-x.

[16] Jacopin, C., M. Sawicki, G. Plancque, D. Doizi, F. Taran, E. Ansoborlo, B. Amekraz, and C.
Moulin, Investigation of the interaction between 1-hydroxyethane-1,1'-diphosphonic acid
(HEDP) and uranium(VI), Inorganic Chemistry 42(16) (2003) 5015-5022,
https://doi.org/10.1021/ic0343509.

632 [17] Sawicki, M., D. Lecerclé, G. Grillon, B. Le Gall, A.L. Sérandour, J.L. Poncy, T. Bailly, R.
633 Burgada, M. Lecouvey, V. Challeix, A. Leydier, S. Pellet-Rostaing, E. Ansoborlo, and F. Taran,

Bisphosphonate sequestering agents. Synthesis and preliminary evaluation for in vitro and

- 635 in vivo uranium(VI) chelation, European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 43(12) (2008) 2768-
- 636 2777, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2008.01.018.
- [18] Fleisch, H., Development of bisphosphonates, Breast Cancer Research 4(1) (2002) 30-34,
 https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr414.
- [19] Jung, A., S. Bisaz, and H. Fleisch, The binding of pyrophosphate and two diphosphonates
 by hydroxyapatite crystals, Calcified Tissue Research 11(4) (1973) 269-280,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02547227.
- [20] Russell, R.G.G., Z. Xia, J.E. Dunford, U. Oppermann, A. Kwaasi, P.A. Hulley, K.L. Kavanagh,
 J.T. Triffitt, M.W. Lundy, R.J. Phipps, B.L. Barnett, F.P. Coxon, M.J. Rogers, N.B. Watts, and
 F.H. Ebetino, Bisphosphonates: An update on mechanisms of action and how these relate
 to clinical efficacy, in Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences2007, Blackwell
 Publishing Inc. p. 209-257.
- 647 [21] Rogers, M.J., D.J. Watts, and R.G.G. Russell, Overview of bisphosphonates, Cancer 80(8
 648 SUPPL.) (1997) 1652-1660,
- 649 [22] Rogers, M.J., S. Gordon, H.L. Benford, F.P. Coxon, S.P. Luckman, J. Monkkonen, and J.C.
- Frith, Cellular and molecular mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates, Cancer 88(12
 SUPPL.) (2000) 2961-2978,
- [23] Shinoda, H., G. Adamek, R. Felix, H. Fleisch, R. Schenk, and P. Hagan, Structure-activity
 relationships of various bisphosphonates, Calcified Tissue International 35(1) (1983) 87-99,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02405012.
- [24] Ruggiero, A., S. Triarico, A. Romano, P. Maurizi, G. Attina, and S. Mastrangelo,
 Bisphosphonates: From Pharmacology to Treatment, Biomedical and Pharmacology
 Journal 16(1) (2023) 221-229, https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/2603.

- [25] Carrel J.P., Abi Najm S., Lysitsa S., Lesclous P., Lombardi T., and Samson J., Phosphorus
 and bisphosphonates: or the forgotten lessons of the past!, Médecine buccale chirurgie
 buccale 12(1) (2006) 7-14,
- [26] Yohann Bala., Etude multi-échelles des effets osseux des bisphosphonates au cours du
 traitement de l'ostéoporose ménopausique., 2011, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I:
 ECOLE DOCTORALE INTERDISCIPLINAIRE SCIENCES SANTE. p. 292.
- 664 [27] Ostovic, D. and G.S. Brenner, Development of subcutaneous and intramuscular
 665 formulations of calcium alendronate salts, Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy
 666 21(10) (1995) 1157-1169, https://doi.org/10.3109/03639049509026665.
- [28] Rossini, M., V. Braga, D. Gatti, D. Gerardi, N. Zamberlan, and S. Adami, Intramuscular
 clodronate therapy in postmenopausal osteoporosis, Bone 24(2) (1999) 125-129,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s8756-3282(98)00154-9.
- [29] Cremers, S.C.L.M., G. Pillai, and S.E. Papapoulos, Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics
 of bisphosphonates: Use for optimisation of intermittent therapy for osteoporosis, Clinical
 Pharmacokinetics 44(6) (2005) 551-570, https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-20054406000001.
- [30] Weiss, H.M., U. Pfaar, A. Schweitzer, H. Wiegand, A. Skerjanec, and H. Schran,
 Biodistribution and plasma protein binding of zoledronic acid, Drug Metabolism and
 Disposition 36(10) (2008) 2043-2049, https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.108.021071.
- [31] Pentikainen, P.J., I. Elomaa, A.K. Nurmi, and S. Karkkainen, Pharmacokinetics of
 clodronate in patients with metastatic breast cancer, International Journal of Clinical
 Pharmacology Therapy and Toxicology 27(5) (1989) 223-228,
- [32] Lin, J.H., I.W. Chen, and F.A. Deluna, Nonlinear kinetics of alendronate: Plasma protein
 binding and bone uptake, Drug Metabolism and Disposition 22(3) (1994) 400-405,
- [33] Lin, J.H., Bisphosphonates: A review of their pharmacokinetic properties, Bone 18(2)
 (1996) 75-85, https://doi.org/10.1016/8756-3282(95)00445-9.
- [34] Torregrosa, J.V. and A.M. Ramos, Use of bisphosphonates in chronic kidney disease,
 Nefrologia 30(3) (2010) 288-297, https://doi.org/10.3265/Nefrologia.pre2010.Mar.10320.
- 686 [35] Cremers, S. and S. Papapoulos, Pharmacology of bisphosphonates, Bone 49(1) (2011) 42-
- 687 49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.01.014.

[36] Lin, J.H., I.W. Chen, F.A. Deluna, and M. Hichens, Renal handling of alendronate in rats:
An uncharacterized renal transport system, Drug Metabolism and Disposition 20(4) (1992)
608-613,

[37] Lin, J.H., D.E. Duggan, I.W. Chen, and R.L. Ellsworth, Physiological disposition of
alendronate, a potent anti-osteolytic bisphosphonate, in laboratory animals, Drug
Metabolism and Disposition 19(5) (1991) 926-932,

- [38] Carnevale, V., F. Dicembrino, V. Frusciante, I. Chiodini, S. Minisola, and A. Scillitani,
 Different patterns of global and regional skeletal uptake of 99mTc-methylene
 diphosphonate with age: Relevance to the pathogenesis of bone loss, Journal of Nuclear
 Medicine 41(9) (2000) 1478-1483,
- [39] Roelofs, A.J., F.P. Coxon, F.H. Ebetino, M.W. Lundy, Z.J. Henneman, G.H. Nancollas, S. Sun,
 K.M. Blazewska, J.L.F. Bala, B.A. Kashemirov, A.B. Khalid, C.E. McKenna, and M.J. Rogers,
 Fluorescent risedronate analogues reveal bisphosphonate uptake by bone marrow
 monocytes and localization around osteocytes in vivo, Journal of Bone and Mineral
 Research 25(3) (2010) 606-616, https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.091009.
- [40] Bishop, N.J. and G. Russell, Bisphosphonates, in Osteogenesis Imperfecta: A Translational
 Approach to Brittle Bone Disease2013, Elsevier Inc. p. 495-500.
- [41] Gertz, B.J., S.D. Holland, W.F. Kline, B.K. Matuszewski, and A.G. Porras, Clinical
 pharmacology of alendronate sodium, Osteoporosis International 3(3 Supplement) (1993)
 13-16, https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01623002.
- 708 [42] Porras, A.G., S.D. Holland, and B.J. Gertz, Pharmacokinetics of alendronate, Clinical
 709 Pharmacokinetics 36(5) (1999) 315-328, https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-199936050 710 00002.

[43] Frith, J.C., J. Mönkkönen, G.M. Blackburn, R.G.G. Russell, and M.J. Rogers, Clodronate and
 liposome-encapsulated clodronate are metabolized to a toxic ATP analog, adenosine 5' (β,γ-dichloromethylene) triphosphate, by mammalian cells in vitro, Journal of Bone and
 Mineral Research 12(9) (1997) 1358-1367, https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.1997.12.9.1358.

- 715 [44] Troehler, U., J.-P. Bonjour, and H. Fleisch, Renal secretion of diphosphonates in rats,
 716 Kidney International 8(1) (1975) 6-13, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1975.70.
- 717 [45] Lawson, M.A., Z. Xia, B.L. Barnett, J.T. Triffitt, R.J. Phipps, J.E. Dunford, R.M. Locklin, F.H.
- 718 Ebetino, and R.G.G. Russell, Differences between bisphosphonates in binding affinities for

- hydroxyapatite, Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B Applied Biomaterials
 92(1) (2010) 149-155, https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.31500.
- [46] Ri, M.H., Y.M. Jang, U.S. Ri, C.J. Yu, K.I. Kim, and S.U. Kim, Ab initio Investigation of
 Adsorption Characteristics of Bisphosphonates on Hydroxyapatite (001) Surface, Journal of
 Materials Science 53(6) (2018) 4252-4261, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-017-1880-1.
- [47] Russell, R.G.G. and M.J. Rogers, Introduction to bisphosphonates and the clinical
 pharmacology of alendronate, British Journal of Rheumatology 36(SUPPL. 1) (1997) 10-14,
- [48] Francis, M.D. and D.J. Valent, Historical perspectives on the clinical development of
 bisphosphonates in the treatment of bone diseases, Journal of Musculoskeletal Neuronal
 Interactions 7(1) (2007) 2-8,
- [49] Rizkalla, E.N., M.T.M. Zaki, and M.I. Ismail, Metal chelates of phosphonate-containing
 ligands—V Stability of some 1-hydroxyethane-1,1-diphosphonic acid metal chelates,
 Talanta 27(9) (1980) 715-719, https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-9140(80)80164-0.
- [50] Mukhametshina, R.M. Metal plating based on copper (II) complex compounds with
 hydroxyethylidene diphosphonic acid. 2020. Institute of Physics Publishing.
- [51] Bordoloi, J.K., D. Berry, I.U. Khan, K. Sunassee, R.T.M. De Rosales, C. Shanahan, and P.J.
 Blower, Technetium-99m and rhenium-188 complexes with one and two pendant
 bisphosphonate groups for imaging arterial calcification, Dalton Transactions 44(11) (2015)
 4963-4975, https://doi.org/10.1039/c4dt02965h.
- [52] Volkert, W.A., B. Edwards, J. Simon, D.A. Wilson, E.H. McKenzie, P. Oberle, and R.A.
 Holmes, In vivo skeletal localization properties of 99mTc complexes of large phosphonate
 ligands, International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. 13(1) (1986)
 31-35,37, https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2897(86)90248-5.
- [53] Balena, R., B.C. Toolan, M. Shea, A. Markatos, E.R. Myers, S.C. Lee, E.E. Opas, J.G. Seedor,
 H. Klein, D. Frankenfield, H. Quartuccio, C. Fioravanti, J. Clair, E. Brown, W.C. Hayes, and
 G.A. Rodan, The effects of 2-year treatment with the aminobisphosphonate alendronate
 on bone metabolism, bone histomorphometry, and bone strength in ovariectomized
 nonhuman primates, Journal of Clinical Investigation 92(6) (1993) 2577-2586,
 https://doi.org/10.1172/jci116872.
- [54] Liberman, U.A., S.R. Weiss, J. Bröll, H.W. Minne, H. Quan, N.H. Bell, J. Rodriguez-Portales,
 R.W. Downs, Jr., J. Dequeker, M. Favus, E. Seeman, R.R. Recker, T. Capizzi, A.C. Santora, A.
 Lombardi, R.V. Shah, L.J. Hirsch, and D.B. Karpf, Effect of oral alendronate on bone mineral

density and the incidence of fractures in postmenopausal osteoporosis, New England
Journal of Medicine 333(22) (1995) 1437-1444,
https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199511303332201.

[55] Rodan, G.A. and H.A. Fleisch, Bisphosphonates: Mechanisms of action, Journal of Clinical
 Investigation 97(12) (1996) 2692-2696, https://doi.org/10.1172/jci118722.

- [56] Schmidt, A., S.J. Rutledge, N. Endo, E.E. Opas, H. Tanaka, G. Wesolowski, C.T. Leu, Z.
 Huang, C. Ramachandaran, S.B. Rodan, and G.A. Rodan, Protein-tyrosine phosphatase
 activity regulates osteoclast formation and function: Inhibition by alendronate,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 93(7)
 (1996) 3068-3073, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.7.3068.
- [57] Schenk, R., W.A. Merz, R. Mühlbauer, R.G.G. Russell, and H. Fleisch, Effect of ethane-1hydroxy-1,1-diphosphonate (EHDP) and dichloromethylene diphosphonate (Cl2MDP) on
 the calcification and resorption of cartilage and bone in the tibial epiphysis and metaphysis
 of rats, Calcified Tissue Research 11(3) (1973) 196-214,
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02547219.
- [58] Murakami, H., N. Takahashi, T. Sasaki, N. Udagawa, S. Tanaka, I. Nakamura, D. Zhang, A.
 Barbier, and T. Suda, A possible mechanism of the specific action of bisphosphonates on
 osteoclasts: Tiludronate preferentially affects polarized osteoclasts having ruffled borders,
 Bone 17(2) (1995) 137-144, https://doi.org/10.1016/s8756-3282(95)00150-6.
- 770 [59] Hughes, D.E., K.R. Wright, H.L. Uy, A. Sasaki, T. Yoneda, D.G. Roodman, G.R. Mundy, and 771 B.F. Boyce, Bisphosphonates promote apoptosis in murine osteoclasts in vitro and in vivo, 772 Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 10(10) (1995) 1478-1487, 773 https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.5650101008.
- [60] Nishikawa, M., T. Akatsu, Y. Katayama, Y. Yasutomo, S. Kado, N. Kugai, M. Yamamoto, and
 N. Nagata, Bisphosphonates act on osteoblastic cells and inhibit osteoclast formation in
 mouse marrow cultures, Bone 18(1) (1996) 9-14, https://doi.org/10.1016/87563282(95)00426-2.
- [61] Hampson, G. and I. Fogelman, Clinical role of bisphosphonate therapy, International
 Journal of Women's Health 4(1) (2012) 455-469,
- 780 [62] Coxon, F.P., K. Thompson, A.J. Roelofs, F.H. Ebetino, and M.J. Rogers, Visualizing mineral

binding and uptake of bisphosphonate by osteoclasts and non-resorbing cells, Bone 42(5)

782 (2008) 848-860, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2007.12.225.

- [63] Sato, M., W. Grasser, N. Endo, R. Akins, H. Simmons, D.D. Thompson, E. Golub, and G.A.
 Rodan, Bisphosphonate action: Alendronate localization in rat bone and effects on
 osteoclast ultrastructure, Journal of Clinical Investigation 88(6) (1991) 2095-2105,
 https://doi.org/10.1172/jci115539.
- [64] Teixeira, S., L. Branco, M.H. Fernandes, and J. Costa-Rodrigues, Bisphosphonates and
 cancer: A relationship beyond the antiresorptive effects, Mini-Reviews in Medicinal
 Chemistry 19(12) (2019) 988-998,
 https://doi.org/10.2174/1389557519666190424163044.
- [65] Drake, M.T., B.L. Clarke, and S. Khosla, Bisphosphonates: Mechanism of action and role in
 clinical practice, Mayo Clinic Proceedings 83(9) (2008) 1032-1045,
 https://doi.org/10.4065/83.9.1032.
- [66] Bigi, A. and E. Boanini, Calcium phosphates as delivery systems for Bisphosphonates,
 Journal of Functional Biomaterials 9(1) (2018), https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb9010006.
- 796 [67] Ebetino, F.H., A.M.L. Hogan, S. Sun, M.K. Tsoumpra, X. Duan, J.T. Triffitt, A.A. Kwaasi, J.E. 797 Dunford, B.L. Barnett, U. Oppermann, M.W. Lundy, A. Boyde, B.A. Kashemirov, C.E. 798 McKenna, and R.G.G. Russell, The relationship between the chemistry and biological 799 of activity the bisphosphonates, Bone 49(1) (2011) 20-33, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.03.774. 800
- [68] Luckman, S.P., D.E. Hughes, F.P. Coxon, R.G.G. Russell, and M.J. Rogers, Nitrogencontaining bisphosphonates inhibit the mevalonate pathway and prevent posttranslational prenylation of GTP-binding proteins, including Ras, Journal of Bone and
 Mineral Research 13(4) (1998) 581-589, https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.1998.13.4.581.
- [69] Alanne, A.L., Novel Applications Related to Bisphosphorus Compounds, 2014, University
 of Eastern Finland. p. 86.
- [70] Cremers, S., M.T. Drake, F.H. Ebetino, J.P. Bilezikian, and R.G.G. Russell, Pharmacology of
 bisphosphonates, British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 85(6) (2019) 1052-1062,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13867.
- [71] Macedo, F., K. Ladeira, F. Pinho, N. Saraiva, N. Bonito, L. Pinto, and F. Gonçalves, Bone
 metastases: An overview, Oncology Reviews 11(1) (2017),
 https://doi.org/10.4081/oncol.2017.321.
- [72] Minisola, S., J. Pepe, S. Piemonte, and C. Cipriani, The diagnosis and management of
 hypercalcaemia, BMJ (Online) 350 (2015), https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2723.

- [73] Bartel, T.B., M. Kuruva, G. Gnanasegaran, M. Beheshti, E.J. Cohen, A.F. Weissman, and
 T.L. Yarbrough, SNMMI procedure standard for bone scintigraphy 4.0, Journal of Nuclear
 Medicine Technology 46(4) (2018) 398-404,
- [74] Finlay, I.G., M.D. Mason, and M. Shelley, Radioisotopes for the palliation of metastatic
 bone cancer: A systematic review, Lancet Oncology 6(6) (2005) 392-400,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(05)70206-0.
- [75] Bounds, L., F. McGrath, and M. Taubert, Hypercalcaemia to hypocalcaemia: tetany as a
 side effect of intravenous bisphosphonate treatment, BMJ Case Reports 15(4) (2022),
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2022-249141.
- [76] Chartrand, N.A., C.K. Lau, M.T. Parsons, J.J. Handlon, Y.C. Ronquillo, P.C. Hoopes, and M.
- Moshirfar, Ocular Side Effects of Bisphosphonates: A Review of Literature, Journal of Ocular
 Pharmacology and Therapeutics 39(1) (2023) 3-16,
 https://doi.org/10.1089/jop.2022.0094.
- [77] Cheng, L., M. Ge, Z. Lan, Z. Ma, W. Chi, W. Kuang, K. Sun, X. Zhao, Y. Liu, Y. Feng, Y. Huang,
 M. Luo, L. Li, B. Zhang, X. Hu, L. Xu, X. Liu, Y. Huo, H. Deng, J. Yang, Q. Xi, Y. Zhang, J.A.
 Siegenthaler, and L. Chen, Zoledronate dysregulates fatty acid metabolism in renal tubular
 epithelial cells to induce nephrotoxicity, Archives of Toxicology 92(1) (2018) 469-485,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-017-2048-0.
- [78] Conte, P. and V. Guarneri, Safety of intravenous and oral bisphosphonates and
 compliance with dosing regimens, Oncologist 9(SUPPL. 4) (2004) 28-37,
 https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.9-90004-28.
- [79] Coropciuc, R., R. Coopman, M. Garip, E. Gielen, C. Politis, T. Van den Wyngaert, and B.
 Beuselinck, Risk of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw after dental extractions in
 patients receiving antiresorptive agents A retrospective study of 240 patients, Bone 170
- 839 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2023.116722.
- [80] Lu, L., J. Zhang, and J. Li, Potential risks of rare serious adverse effects related to long-
- term use of bisphosphonates: An overview of systematic reviews, Journal of Clinical
 Pharmacy and Therapeutics 45(1) (2020) 45-51, https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13056.
- 843 [81] Silverman, S., E. Kupperman, and S. Bukata, Bisphosphonate-related atypical femoral
- 844 fracture: Managing a rare but serious complication, Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine
- 845 85(11) (2018) 885-893, https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.85a.17119.

- [82] Nassar, K. and S. Janani, Diffuse adverse cutaneous reactions induced by zoledronic acid
 administration: a case report: Eruptions cutanées diffuses induites par l'administration de
 l'acide zolédronique, Osteoporosis International 32(12) (2021) 2583-2586,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-021-06021-2.
- [83] Rodríguez, A.J., N. Nerlekar, and P.R. Ebeling, Cardiac adverse events in bisphosphonate
 and teriparatide users: An international pharmacovigilance study, Bone 168 (2023),
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2022.116647.
- 853 [84] Opperman, K.S., K. Vandyke, K.C. Clark, E.A. Coulter, D.R. Hewett, K.M. Mrozik, N. 854 Schwarz, A. Evdokiou, P.I. Croucher, P.J. Psaltis, J.E. Noll, and A.C. Zannettino, Clodronate-855 Liposome Mediated Macrophage Depletion Abrogates Multiple Myeloma Tumor 856 Establishment In Vivo, Neoplasia (United States) 21(8) (2019) 777-787, 857 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2019.05.006.
- [85] La-Beck, N.M., X. Liu, H. Shmeeda, C. Shudde, and A.A. Gabizon, Repurposing aminobisphosphonates by liposome formulation for a new role in cancer treatment, Seminars in
 Cancer Biology 68 (2021) 175-185, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.12.001.
- [86] Tian, L., X. Yi, Z. Dong, J. Xu, C. Liang, Y. Chao, Y. Wang, K. Yang, and Z. Liu, Calcium
 Bisphosphonate Nanoparticles with Chelator-Free Radiolabeling to Deplete TumorAssociated Macrophages for Enhanced Cancer Radioisotope Therapy, ACS Nano 12(11)
 (2018) 11541-11551, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b06699.
- [87] Xi, Y., T. Jiang, Y. Yu, J. Yu, M. Xue, N. Xu, J. Wen, W. Wang, H. He, Y. Shen, D. Chen, X. Ye,
 and T.J. Webster, Dual targeting curcumin loaded alendronatehyaluronan- octadecanoic
 acid micelles for improving osteosarcoma therapy, International Journal of Nanomedicine
 14 (2019) 6425-6437, https://doi.org/10.2147/ijn.s211981.
- 869 [88] Hanif, M., S. Shah, A. Rasul, G. Abbas, M. Zaman, M.W. Amjad, M.A.G. Raja, H.U. Khan, 870 M. Ashfaq, and O. Iqbal, Enhancement of oral bioavailability of ibandronate through 871 gastroretentive raft forming drug delivery system: In vitro and in vivo evaluation, 872 International of Nanomedicine 15 (2020) Journal 4847-4858, 873 https://doi.org/10.2147/ijn.s255278.
- [89] Hosny, K.M., O.A.A. Ahmed, and R.T. Al-Abdali, Enteric-coated alendronate sodium
 nanoliposomes: A novel formula to overcome barriers for the treatment of osteoporosis,
 Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery 10(6) (2013) 741-746,
 https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2013.799136.

878 [90] Okimoto, N., Y. Uemura, T. Yoshioka, S. Arita, H. Tsurukami, H. Otomo, S. Nishida, T. 879 Ogawa, K. Hirao, S. Ikeda, H. Matsumoto, Y. Toten, Y. Katae, Y. Okazaki, T. Nakagawa, and 880 A. Sakai, Treatment with once-weekly alendronate oral jelly compared with once-weekly 881 alendronate oral tablet for Japanese patients with primary osteoporosis: An open-label, 882 prospective, observational study, Health Science Reports 2(1) (2019), 883 https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.107.

- [91] Giusti, A., G. Bianchi, A. Barone, and D.M. Black, A novel effervescent formulation of oral
 weekly alendronate (70 mg) improves persistence compared to alendronate tablets in
 post-menopausal women with osteoporosis, Aging Clinical and Experimental Research
 33(9) (2021) 2529-2537, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-020-01777-9.
- [92] Cruz, L., E. Fattal, L. Tasso, G.C. Freitas, A.B. Carregaro, S.S. Guterres, A.R. Pohlmann, and
 N. Tsapis, Formulation and in vivo evaluation of sodium alendronate spray-dried
 microparticles intended for lung delivery, Journal of Controlled Release 152(3) (2011) 370 375, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.02.030.
- [93] Nasr, M., G.A.S. Awad, S. Mansour, I. Taha, A.A. Shamy, and N.D. Mortada, Different
 modalities of NaCl osmogen in biodegradable microspheres for bone deposition of
 risedronate sodium by alveolar targeting, European Journal of Pharmaceutics and
 Biopharmaceutics 79(3) (2011) 601-611, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2011.07.010.
- [94] Boche, M. and V. Pokharkar, Microemulsion assisted transdermal delivery of a hydrophilic
 anti-osteoporotic drug: Formulation, in vivo pharmacokinetic studies, in vitro cell
 osteogenic activity, Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science 10(8) (2020) 8-19,
 https://doi.org/10.7324/japs.2020.10802.
- [95] Gyanewali, S., P. Kesharwani, A. Sheikh, F.J. Ahmad, R. Trivedi, and S. Talegaonkar,
 Formulation development and in vitro-in vivo assessment of protransfersomal gel of anti resorptive drug in osteoporosis treatment, International Journal of Pharmaceutics 608
 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.121060.
- 904 [96] Crisponi, G., A. Dean, V. Di Marco, J.I. Lachowicz, V.M. Nurchi, M. Remelli, and A. Tapparo,
 905 Different approaches to the study of chelating agents for iron and aluminium overload
 906 pathologies, Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 405(2-3) (2013) 585-601,
 907 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-012-6468-7.

- 908 [97] Chen, L., J. Liu, W. Zhang, J. Zhou, D. Luo, and Z. Li, Uranium (U) source, speciation, uptake,
 909 toxicity and bioremediation strategies in soil-plant system: A review, Journal of Hazardous
 910 Materials 413 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125319.
- 911 [98] Migianu-Griffoni, E., C. Mbemba, R. Burgada, D. Lecerclé, F. Taran, and M. Lecouvey,
 912 Design and synthesis of new polyphosphorylated upper-rim modified calix[4]arenes as
 913 potential and selective chelating agents of uranyl ion, Tetrahedron 65(7) (2009) 1517-1523,
- 914 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2008.11.076.
- [99] Xu, K., W. Ge, G. Liang, L. Wang, Z. Yang, Q. Wang, I.M. Hsing, and B. Xu, Bisphosphonatecontaining supramolecular hydrogels for topical decorporation of uranium-contaminated
 wounds in mice, International Journal of Radiation Biology 84(5) (2008) 353-362,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/09553000802029902.
- 919 [100] Fisher, D.R., Decorporation: Officially a word, Health Physics 78(5) (2000) 563-565,
 920 https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200005000-00015.
- 921 [101] Abergel, R.J., Chelation of Actinides, in RSC Metallobiology, R.J. Ward, et al., Editors.
 922 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry. p. 183-212.
- 923 [102] Adriana Beatriz, M., B. Carola Bettina, O. Nadia Soledad, T. Deborah Ruth, and U. Angela
 924 Matilde, Bisphosphonates as Chelating Agents in Uranium Poisoning, in Recent Techniques
 925 and Applications in Ionizing Radiation Research, M.M. Ahmed and A. Basim, Editors. 2020,
 926 IntechOpen: Rijeka. p. Ch. 8.
- 927 [103] Ansoborlo, E., B. Amekraz, C. Moulin, V. Moulin, F. Taran, T. Bailly, R. Burgada, M.H.
 928 Hengé-Napoli, A. Jeanson, C. Den Auwer, L. Bonin, and P. Moisy, Review of actinide
 929 decorporation with chelating agents, Comptes Rendus Chimie 10(10-11) (2007) 1010-1019,
 930 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2007.01.015.
- [104] Stradling, G.N., M.H. Hengé-Napoli, F. Paquet, J.L. Poncy, P. Fritsch, and D.M. Taylor,
 Approaches for experimental evaluation of chelating agents, Radiation Protection
 Dosimetry 87(1) (2000) 19-27, https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.rpd.a032976.
- [105] Wang, X., C. Shi, J. Guan, Y. Chen, Y. Xu, J. Diwu, and S. Wang, The development of
 molecular and nano actinide decorporation agents, Chinese Chemical Letters 33(7) (2022)
 3395-3404, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2022.04.017.
- 937 [106] Yue, Y.C., M.H. Li, H.B. Wang, B.L. Zhang, and W. He, The toxicological mechanisms and
 938 detoxification of depleted uranium exposure, Environmental Health and Preventive
 939 Medicine 23(1) (2018), https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-018-0706-3.

[107] Wang, L., Z. Yang, J. Gao, K. Xu, H. Gu, B. Zhang, X. Zhang, and B. Xu, A biocompatible
method of decorporation: Bisphosphonate-modified magnetite nanoparticles to remove
uranyl ions from blood, Journal of the American Chemical Society 128(41) (2006) 1335813359, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0651355.

944 [108] Uehara, A., D. Matsumura, T. Tsuji, H. Yakumaru, I. Tanaka, A. Shiro, H. Saitoh, H.
945 Ishihara, and S. Homma-Takeda, Uranium chelating ability of decorporation agents in
946 serum evaluated by X-ray absorption spectroscopy, Analytical Methods 14(24) (2022) 2439947 2445, https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ay00565d.

- 948 [109] Fukuda, S., H. Iida, M. Ikeda, X. Yan, and Y. Xie, Toxicity of uranium and the removal
 949 effects of CBMIDA and EHBP in simulated wounds of rats, Health Physics 89(1) (2005) 81950 88, https://doi.org/10.1097/01.hp.0000156956.42935.28.
- [110] Ubios, A.M., M.B. Guglielmotti, and R.L. Cabrini, Ethane 1-hydroxy-1, 1-diphosphonate
 (ehdp) counteracts the inhibitory effect of uranyl nitrate on bone formation, Archives of
 Environmental Health 45(6) (1990) 374-379,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00039896.1990.10118758.
- [111] Ubios, A.M., E.M. Braun, and R.L. Cabrini, Lethality due to uranium poisoning is
 prevented by ethane-1-hydroxy-1, l-biphosphonate (Ehbp), Health Physics 66(5) (1994)
 540-544, https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-199405000-00005.
- [112] Ubios, A.M., E.M. Braun, and R.L. Cabrini, Effect of biphosphonates on abnormal
 mandibular growth of rats intoxicated with uranium, Health Physics 75(6) (1998) 610-613,
 https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-199812000-00004.
- 961 [113] Martínez, A.B., R.L. Cabrini, and A.M. Ubios, Orally administered ethane-1-hydroxy-1,1962 biphosphonate reduces the lethal effect of oral uranium poisoning, Health Physics 78(6)
 963 (2000) 668-671, https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200006000-00009.
- 964 [114] Martinez, A.B., P.M. Mandalunis, C.B. Bozal, R.L. Cabrini, and A.M. Ubios, Renal function
 965 in mice poisoned with oral uranium and treated with ethane-1-hydroxy-1,1966 bisphosphonate (EHBP), Health Physics 85(3) (2003) 343-347,
 967 https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-200309000-00010.
- 968 [115] Bozal, C.B., A.B. Martinez, R.L. Cabrini, and A.M. Ubios, Effect of ethane-1-hydroxy-1,1-969 bisphosphonate (EHBP) on endochondral ossification lesions induced by a lethal oral dose 970 of Toxicology 79(8) of uranyl nitrate, Archives (2005)475-481, 971 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-005-0649-5.

- [116] Liu, P., G. Chen, and J. Zhang, A Review of Liposomes as a Drug Delivery System: Current
 Status of Approved Products, Regulatory Environments, and Future Perspectives,
 Molecules 27(4) (2022), https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27041372.